

What I meant by we could “have worked the standard noon formula from the other end and compute what we would have measured if we had been at 25 degrees North” was to assume we were at 25 degrees north and then rearrange the standard noon formula to compute the altitude we would have observed had we actually been at 25 north. This is how it worked.

Date: October 29, 2009

Time: 13:18:46

Declination  $13^{\circ} 36.4'$  south

Height of eye: 33 feet

Index error:  $+1.6'$

Lower limb observation

Hs  $51^{\circ} 26.4'$

I.C.  $-1.6'$

Dip  $-5.6'$

Ref.  $-0.8'$

S.D.  $+16.1'$

Ho  $51^{\circ} 34.5'$

( For instructional purposes, I like to do the semi-diameter and refraction corrections separately so the student can see where the numbers are coming from. The total of these two corrections was  $+ 15.3'$ . If using the sun correction table the combined correction for the lower limb shot was  $+ 15.5'$ . Doing the corrections separately is more accurate since the sun correction table doesn't use the actual S.D for the sun on the day of the observation but an average S.D. for a six month period.)

Normal noon sight computation

$90^{\circ} = 89^{\circ} 60.0'$

Ho  $- 51^{\circ} 34.5'$

ZD  $38^{\circ} 25.5'$

Dec  $-13^{\circ} 26.4'$

Lat  $24^{\circ} 49.1'$  north



For the special case of a noon sight where the LHA is  $0^\circ$ , which has a cosine of 1, the second term reduces to only  $\cos \text{lat} \times \cos \text{dec}$ .

$$H_c = \arcsin ((\sin 25^\circ \times \sin -13^\circ 36.4') + (\cos 25^\circ \times \cos -13^\circ 36.4' \times 1))$$

$$H_c = \arcsin ((0.422 \times -0.235) + (0.906 \times 0.972))$$

$$H_c = \arcsin ((-0.099) + (0.881))$$

$$H_c = 51^\circ 23.6'$$

gl