## Calculating the intersections of two circles on a sphere. George Huxtable.

Here are details about my programmable-calculator program to derive the lat and long for each crossing of two circles. It is implemented on a Casio programmable pocket calculator, FX730P or FX795P, dating from the 1980s. It could easily be adapted to run on other machines.

The trig routines are preset to operate in degrees. The version of bastard-Basic that's used has just 26 possible variables, denoted by the letters A to $Z$. The program lines are numbered. Internally, it calculates in bcd (binary coded decimal) , a decimal digit at a time, rather than in true binary, and works to a precision of 12 decimal places.

For many functions, there is no requirement to enclose the atgument in brackets, so for example, ACSU is the arc-cosine of variable U. A subroutine specified by GOSUB does no more than specify an order of executing insructions, all variables being effectively Global.

Declinations and latitudes are taken to be positive-North. Both GHAs and longitudes are treated as increasing Westerly from Greenwich, 0 to 360 degrees, though if preferred the final displayed long, for values $>180$, could be converted to $E$.

The program starts at line 20 with a block which reads in, via the keyboard, the following quantities, in decimal degrees-
dec1 into B,
GHA1 into C,
alt1 into D,
dec2 into E,
GHA2 into $F$,
alt2 into G .
then as follows, from line 80-

```
80 H=SIND*COSF/COSB-SING*COSC/COSE
90 I= TANE*COSC-TANB*COSF
100 J=COSF*SINC-COSC*SINF
110 K=SIND*SINF/COSB-SING*SINC/COSE
120 L=TANE*SINC-TANB*SINF
130 M= -H*I -K*L
140 N= (H*I+K*L)*(H*I+K*L)-(I*I+L*L+J*J)*(H*H+K**K-J*J) Discriminant of quadratic in (sin lat).
145 IF N<0;PRINT"NO SOL": GOTO 20
150 O=|*I+L*L+J*J
160 P=(M+SQRN)/O:IF ABSP>1;GOTO330
165 P=ASNP:W=1:GOSUB }18
170 P=(M-SQRN)/O:IF ABS(P)>1;GOTO 330
175 P=ASNP:W=-1:GOSUB180
177 GOTO 20
180 S=SIND/(COSP*COSB)-TANB*TANP:IF ABS(S)>1;GOTO 320
185 R=360*FRAC(1+(C+W*ACSS)/360)
195 S=360*FRAC(1+(C-W*ACSS)/360)
200 U=SING/(COSP*COSE)-TANE*TANP:IF ABS(U)>1;GOTO 320
205 T=360*FRAC(1+(F+W*ACSU)/360)
215 U=360*FRAC(1+(F-W*ACSU)/360)
230 IF ABS(ABS(R-T)-180)>179.9999;V=R:GOTO 280
240 IF ABS(ABS(R-U)-180)>179.9999;V=R:GOTO 280
250 IF ABS(ABS(S-T)-180)>179.9999;V=S:GOTO 280
260 IF ABS(ABS(S-U)-180)>179.9999;V=S:GOTO 280
270 PRINT "LONG ERROR";GOTO 20
280IF P<0;GOTO 300
290 PRINT RND(ABS(P),-5);"N";RND(V,-5);"W":GOTO310
300 PRINT RND(ABS(P),-5);"S";RND(V,-5);"W"
```

Discriminant of quadratic in (sin lat).

Northmost solution for sin lat1 on first pass.
This is lat1; go to block at 180 to find long1. Southmost solution for sin lat2 on second pass. With lat2; to block at 180 again, for long2. All done and back to starting point. Start of block to find long. 2 alternative longs for circle 1.W switches scan order on 2nd pass.

2 alternative longs for circle 2.
These four lines look at longs of each pair of candidate intersections with the lat. parallel to test which pairs are nearly the same or (just perhaps) nearly 360 different.

If Southern hemisphere.
If North hemi, print lat north and westerly long. If South hemi, print lat south and westerly long.
310 RETURN
320 PRINT "LONG ERROR2":GOTO 20
330 PRINT "LAT ERROR":GOTO 20

This calculation follows the general lines of the method described in sections 1 and 2 of the paper "The K-Z position solution for the double sight", by K H Zevering, in European Journal of Navigation, vol 1 no 3, dec 2003. That article contained many typographical errors which were corrected in a later issue, vol 2, no 2 . Readers should be aware that other parts of that article contain serious errors of substance by the author, on top of the typographical errors, but sections 1 and 2, after typos are corrected, appear to be sound. However, my treatment here uses a different notation, to correspond with the naming of variables of my pocket calculator.

The Earth is treated as spherical. Latitudes are North-positive. Longitudes are taken to be positive Westwards, 0 to 360 degrees, to conform with the direction of Hour Angles.

A celestial body B1, at dec1, GHA1, is observed at an altitude of alt1. In which case a circle can be drawn on a globe, centred at C1 (dec1, GHA1), with radius $\mathrm{z1}$, where zenith angle $\mathrm{z1}=90$ - alt1. That circle represents the locus of possible positions of the observer.

At that same moment, another celestial body, B2, at (dec2, GHA2) was observed at an altitude of alt2. A second circle is drawn on the globe, centred on (dec2, GHA2), with radius $\mathrm{z2}$ ( $=90$ - alt2). That second circle should be drawn so that it intersects the first. There will be two such intersections; choose one, and mark it as $P$. That is the point for which we are aiming to find the position as (lat, long), from the intersection of those two circles. We will look for both intersections.

Join C 1 and P to the North pole N , and join C 1 to P , with lines that are great circles on the globe. We now have a spherical triangle ( N to C 1 to P ), with the three sides as $90-\mathrm{dec} 1,90$-lat1, and 90 -alt1, and the subtended angle at the pole (GHA1-long). In which case we can write, for that triangle, from the fundamental cosine law-
$\cos ($ GHA1-long $)=\sin$ alt1 $/ \cos$ lat $\cos$ dec1 - tan lat tan dec1 (eq.1)
similarly, join C 2 to the North pole and to P , which creates a second spherical triangle N to C 2 to P , of which we can write-
$\cos ($ GHA2-long $)=\sin$ alt2 $/ \cos$ lat $\cos \operatorname{dec} 2-\tan$ lat tan dec2 (eq.2)
We need to solve those two equations, finding a value for lat and long for which they are both true, and that will represent the point $P$. In fact, there will be two such solutions.

The given quantities, which define the particular problem, are dec1, GHA1, and alt1, and dec2, GHA2, and alt2.
Equations (1) and (2) are simultaneous equations, in which lat and long are seriously entangled together, and we have to eliminate one of those quantities. We will choose to eliminate long. It will involve quite a lot of algebra.

Expand $\cos ($ GHA1-long ) as cosGHA1 cos long $+\sin$ GHA1 sin long, etc, and we get
cos GHA1 cos long $+\sin$ GHA1 $\sin$ long $=(\sin$ alt1/cos dec1 $-\tan$ dec1 $\sin$ lat) / cos lat (eq.3)
cos GHA2 cos long $+\sin$ GHA2 $\sin$ long $=(\sin$ alt2/cos dec2 $-\tan \operatorname{dec} 2 \sin \operatorname{lat}) / \cos$ lat (eq.4)
now multiply eq. 3 by cos GHA2 and from it, subtract eq. 4 multiplied by cos GHA1, which gives-
( $\sin$ GHA1 $\cos$ GHA2 - sin GHA2 cos GHA1) sin long
$=[(\sin$ alt1 $\cos$ GHA2 $/ \cos \operatorname{dec} 1-\sin$ alt2 $\cos$ GHA1 $/ \cos \operatorname{dec} 2)+(\tan \operatorname{dec} 2 \cos$ GHA1 $-\tan \operatorname{dec} 1 \cos$ GHA2 $) \sin$ lat] $/$ cos lat
which we can write as-
$\sin$ long $=(H+I \sin$ lat $) / J \cos$ lat, (eq. 5)
where-
$H=\sin$ alt1 $\cos$ GHA2 / cos dec1 $-\sin$ alt2 $\cos$ GHA1 / cos dec2
$I=\tan$ dec2 $\cos$ GHA1 - tan dec1 cos GHA2 (the left-hand side is a letter-I, not a 1)
$J=\sin$ GHA1 $\cos$ GHA2 $-\sin$ GHA2 cos GHA1
These are lines 80 to 100 of my calculator program.
similarly, we can multiply eq. 3 by sin GHA2 and from it, subtract eq. 4 multiplied by sin GHA1, which gives-
cos GHA1 sin GHA2 - cos GHA2 sin GHA1) cos long
$=$ [ $\sin$ alt1 $\sin$ GHA2 $/ \cos$ dec1 $-\sin$ alt2 $\sin$ GHA1 / cos dec2 +(tan dec2 $\sin$ GHA1 $-\tan \operatorname{dec} 1 \sin$ GHA2) $\sin$ lat) / cos lat
in the same way, we can write that as-
cos long $=(K+L \sin$ lat $) /-J \cos$ lat (eq. 6)
where-
$\mathrm{K}=\sin$ alt1 $\sin$ GHA2 $/ \cos$ dec1 $-\sin$ alt2 $\sin$ GHA1 / cos dec2
$\mathrm{L}=\tan \mathrm{dec} 2 \sin \mathrm{GHA} 1-\tan \mathrm{dec} 1 \sin$ GHA2
These are lines 110, 120 of my program.

If we now square both sides of eq.5, and also square both sides of eq.6, and add them, this finally eliminates terms involving long, and results in -
$(\sin \text { long })^{2}+(\cos \text { long })^{2}=1=[(H+I \sin \text { lat }) / J \cos \text { lat }]^{2}+[(K+L \sin \text { lat }) /-J \cos \text { lat }]^{2}$. or-
$(\mathrm{J} \cos \mathrm{lat})^{2}=(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{I} \sin \mathrm{lat})^{2}+(\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{L} \sin \mathrm{lat})^{2}$
or, multiplying out the squares, and putting $1-(\sin \operatorname{lat})^{2}$ for (cos lat $)^{2}$
$\left(I^{2}+L^{2}+J^{2}\right)(\sin \text { lat })^{2}+\left(\left.2^{*}\right|^{*} H+2^{*} K^{*} L\right) \sin$ lat $+\left(H^{2}+K^{2}-J^{2}\right)=0$
This is a quadratic equation in (sin lat) which has the usual pair of solutions, to be found in the traditional way.
If we define
$\mathrm{M}=-I^{*} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}^{*} \mathrm{~L}$
$N=\left(I^{*} H+K^{*} L\right)^{2}-\left(I^{2}+L^{2}+J^{2}\right)\left(H^{2}+K^{2}-J^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{O}=\left(\mathrm{I}^{2}+\mathrm{L}^{2}+\mathrm{J}^{2}\right)$ (the left-hand side is a letter-O, not a zero)
These are lines 130 to 150
then as long as the discriminant $N$ is not negative (which should be tested) then the circles do, in fact, intersect, and there will be two solutions. Those solutions are-
lat1 $=\arcsin ((M+\operatorname{sqrt}(N)) / O)$, for the more Northerly intersection, and
lat2 $=\arcsin ((\mathrm{M}-\operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{N})) / \mathrm{O})$ for the more Southerly.
(lines 160, 165)
Next the longitudes of those two intersections must be found. Start with the more Northerly, with lat = lat1.
On each circle, there are two possible points with that longitude, where the latitude parallel lat 1 intersects. One such point on circle 1 will have the same longitude as one such point on circle 2, and that common longitude is long1, the longitude of the intersection point that is being sought. The others are of no interest.

Similarly, there are intersections between the latitude line lat2 and the two circles, which will give long2 of the common intersection in the same way.

Take the more Northerly crossing point first, at lat1.
Look first for its intersections with circle1.
Substitute the now-known value for lat1, into equation 1, to obtain cos (GHA1-long1).
Obtaining such an angle from its cos gives two alternative results; either a positive (Westerly) difference or the converse.
All we can say is that either-
long1 = GHA1 $+\arccos (\sin$ alt1 / cos lat1 $\cos$ dec1 $-\tan$ lat1 tan dec1) which we can put into variable R, or
long1 = GHA1 - arccos (sin alt1 / cos lat1 cos dec1 - tan lat1 tan dec1) which can go into S
(lines180 to 195)
Look for the intersection of the same parallel lat1 with circle 2 , using equation (2), in the same way, giving two more possible values, either-
long1 $=$ GHA2 $+\arccos (\sin$ alt2 $/ \cos$ lat1 $\cos$ dec2 $-\tan$ lat1 tan dec2) which we can put into variable T, or
long1 = GHA2 - arccos (sin alt2 / cos lat1 cos dec2 - tan lat1 tan dec2) which can go into $U$
(lines 200-215)
It helps to avoid confusion if those four angles are put into a common range of say 0 to 360 degrees positive.

Now one possible value, from the pair $R$ and $S$, should match one from the pair $T$ and $U$. It's a simple matter to make that test for equality, for which I have set a limit of .0001 degrees. Whichever pair are equal, that value is taken to be the longitude sought, long1. To allow for special cases, longs which differ by 360 deg are treated as equal.
(lines 230 to 260)
The position of intersection 1, as lat1, long1, is then displayed (line 290 or 300). Then, the longitude of the more Southerly intersection, long2, is determined in exactly the same way, knowing lat2, and that intersection is displayed. The observer must be at one of those two positions, but he will have to use other evidence to determine which. That will usually be easy.

If the two circles are centred on the same meridian, the latitudes of their two crossings are the same. To ensure that both
resulting longitudes get flushed out, the order of testing for longitudes on the second scan is reversed, by switching W from +1 to -1 .

I have no idea whether the above procedure is an efficient one and have made no attempts to improve on it. All I can say is that it does seem to give correct results, when tested.
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