# Celestial Navigation on the Complex Plane

Robin G. Stuart



Mystic Seaport, Connecticut 5 June, 2010

## **Stereographic Projection**



A straight line drawn from N projects P on the sphere onto  $z_p$  on the plane

- Mapping is conformal (angle preserving)
- Circles on the sphere map to circles on the plane
- $\succ$   $z_p$  is generally not the center of the circle on plane

## Double Altitude Sight Example

On March 7<sup>th</sup>,1880 two measurements are made of the Sun's altitude roughly 4 hours apart.

At the first observation

 $GHA_1 = 0^h 59^m 59^s.10$   $\delta_1 = -4^\circ 59' 19''.9$   $ZD_1 = 40^\circ 00' 00''.0$ 

and at the second observation

 $GHA_2 = 4^{h}59^{m}58^{s}.97$   $\delta_2 = -4^{\circ}55'26''.0$   $ZD_2 = 56^{\circ}43'15''$ 

- Lecky, S. T. S., *"Wrinkles" in Practical Navigation*, George Philip & Son, Liverpool, 1886; <u>http://books.google.com/books?id=dmbOAAAAMAAJ</u>
- Each observation defines a Circle of Position (COP)
- Observer's position is at one of the intersections
- For a running fix the radius of one of the circles is adjusted





Intersection at Latitude 32°23′ N Longitude 30° W
 Under Mercator projection COP's are not circles



- >  $z_{p1}$  and  $z_{p2}$  are the geographic positions (GP) of the Sun
- > Centers of the COP's,  $z_{c1}$  and  $z_{c2}$ , found graphically from circle vertices and GP's

Observer's position can be located by purely graphical methods!

# Stereographic Projection

(Aside)

Stereographic projection of spherical triangles produces auxiliary plane triangles



Spherical Trigonometry identities are derived by applying standard identities from Plane Trigonometry

Donnay, J. D. H., *Spherical Trigonometry after the Cesàro Method*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1945.

> origins in crystallography

# **Complex Numbers**

Complex number, *z*, consists of a real part *x* and imaginary part *y*  $z = x + iy = r(\cos \phi + i \sin \phi) = re^{i\phi}$ 

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and *r*,  $\varphi$  are the modulus, argument of *z* 

Re 
$$z = x$$
, Im  $z = y$ ,  $|z| = r$ , arg $(z) = \phi$ 

Complex conjugate of z is

$$\overline{z} = x - iy = r(\cos\phi - i\sin\phi) = re^{-i\phi}$$

Application to Celestial Navigation uses <u>simple</u> arithmetic of complex numbers

- Built into many scientific calculators
- Native feature of many programming languages; FORTRAN, C++, PERL,...

Functions of complex variables are intimately connected to conformal mapping

- Complex numbers represented as points on a plane or on the Riemann sphere
- Related by stereographic projection

### Stereographic Projection of the Globe onto the Complex Plane



Point *P* at latitude *L* and longitude  $\lambda$  then  $z_p = tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{L}{2}\right)e^{i\lambda}$  (NP Projection)

or 
$$z_p = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{L}{2}\right)e^{-i\lambda}$$
 (SP Projection)

Two spherical coordinates *L*,  $\lambda$  carried in a single complex variable

### Properties of Circles on the Complex Plane

Two points z and  $z_p$  separated by angular distance  $\theta$  on the sphere satisfy

$$\tan\frac{\theta}{2} \equiv \rho = \left|\frac{z - z_p}{1 + \overline{z}_p z}\right|$$

The set of points z describes a circle with  $z_p$  as its pole

On the complex plane that circle will have center,  $z_c$ , and radius, r

$$z_{c} = \frac{1+\rho^{2}}{1-\rho^{2}|z_{p}|^{2}} z_{p}, \qquad r = \frac{1+|z_{p}|^{2}}{|1-\rho^{2}|z_{p}|^{2}|}\rho$$

In the case of a Great Circle  $\rho$  = 1

$$z_{c} = \frac{2z_{p}}{1 - |z_{p}|^{2}}, \qquad r = \frac{1 + |z_{p}|^{2}}{|1 - |z_{p}|^{2}|}$$

From which it follows  $r^2 = |z_c|^2 + 1$ 

### Intersection of Two Circles on the Complex Plane

Double altitude sight requires finding the intersection of 2 circles on the complex plane

Circle with centers at  $z_{c1}$ ,  $z_{c2}$  and radii  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  intersect at

$$z = \frac{1}{2} (z_{c1} + z_{c2}) + (\mu \pm i\nu) (z_{c2} - z_{c1})$$

where

$$d = |z_{c1} - z_{c2}|$$
  

$$\mu = \frac{r_1^2 - r_2^2}{2d^2}$$
  

$$v = \frac{1}{2d^2} \sqrt{4r_1^2 d^2 - (d^2 + r_1^2 - r_2^2)^2}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{2d^2} \sqrt{(r_1 + r_2 + d)(r_1 - r_2 - d)(-r_1 + r_2 - d)(r_1 + r_2 - d)}$$

<b>Position from Doub</b>	le Altitude S	Si	ght (	of	the Su	Jr	<u>1</u>
Sun at 1 <sup>st</sup> observation							
GHA <sub>1</sub>	0	h	59	m	59.10	s	
Declination, $\delta_1$	-4	0	59	'	19.9	"	
Zenithal Distance, ZD <sub>1</sub>	40	0	00	'	00	"	
Sun at 2 <sup>nd</sup> observation							
GHA <sub>2</sub>	4	h	59	m	58.97	s	
Declination, $\delta_2$	-4	0	55	'	26.0	"	
Zenithal Distance, ZD <sub>2</sub>	56	0	42	'	15	"	
Z <sub>p1</sub>	0.8852965062	43	3674-0	).2	371520	85	690398i
ρ1	0.363970						
z <sub>p2</sub>	0.2375470475	55	5908-0	).8	862712	02	961888i
ρ <sub>2</sub>	0.539618						
2 z <sub>c1</sub>	1.1281083633	94	<b>1</b> 66-0.	30	219621	26	55319i
r <sub>1</sub>	0.753556						
z <sub>c2</sub>	0.4063304631	57	7132-7	1.5	159901	67	36917i
r <sub>2</sub>	1.316722						
d	1.412182						
μ	-0.292317						
V	0.491536						
7	1 5748307911	6/	182-0	an	906113	R1	52821i
2	0.3815832928	36	6668-0	).1	995011	09	070274i
Latitude, L	32° 23' 01"						
Longitude, $\lambda$	-29° 59' 44"						
Latitude, <i>L</i>	-43° 24' 28"						
Longitude, $\lambda$	-27º 36' 06"						

$$z_{p} = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)e^{-i(\text{GHA})}; \quad \rho = \tan\left(\frac{\text{ZD}}{2}\right)$$
$$z_{c} = \frac{1 + \rho^{2}}{1 - \rho^{2}|z_{p}|^{2}}z_{p}; \qquad r = \frac{1 + |z_{p}|^{2}}{|1 - \rho^{2}|z_{p}|^{2}|}\rho$$

$$z = \frac{1}{2} (z_{c1} + z_{c2}) + (\mu \pm i\nu) (z_{c2} - z_{c1})$$

$$L = 2 \tan^{-1} |z| - \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad \lambda = \arg(z)$$

in reference  $L = 32^{\circ}23'$ N,  $\lambda = 30^{\circ}00'$ W

### Rotations on the Complex Plane

If  $z^*$  denotes the point diametrically opposite z on the Riemann sphere (antipodal point) then



Can be used to show that the general form of a rotation of the sphere is a bilinear or Möbius transformation

$$T(z) = \frac{az+b}{-\overline{b}z+\overline{a}}$$

Finding the altitude and azimuth of a celestial body amounts to a rotation of a spherical coordinate system

Equatorial Coordinates (GHA,  $\delta$ )  $\rightarrow$  Horizontal Coordinates (Z, h)

For Assumed Position (AP) latitude *L*, longitude  $\lambda$ 

$$a = e^{-i\frac{\lambda}{2}}, \qquad b = -\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{L}{2}\right)e^{i\frac{\lambda}{2}}$$
$$z = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)e^{-i(\text{GHA})}; \quad \mathbf{T}(z) \equiv w = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{h}{2}\right)e^{iZ}$$

Coefficients a and b depend only on AP – can be used for multiple objects

## Altitude and Azimuth

Find the altitude, *h* and azimuth *Z*, of the star Vega ( $\alpha$  Lyræ) at 8 o'clock on 24 October, 1874 from assumed Position (AP) latitude *L* = 30°30′N and longitude  $\lambda$  = 9°30′W.

Saint Hilaire, A. M., *Revue Maritimes et Coloniale*, **Mar-Aout**, 1875, pp.341-375; Vanvaerenbergh, M. and Ifland, P., *Line of Position Navigation*, Unlimited Publishing, Bloomington, Indiana, 2003.

Altitude and	<u>Azimuth</u>					
Assumed Positi	on					
Latitude, L	3	5 °	30.0	'		
Longitude, $\lambda$		<b>)</b> °	30.0	'		
o S Vega at observ	ation	+		_	_	
GHA	62	2 °	16	' (	00	"
Declination, $\delta$	3	3 °	40	' '	13	"
<u>o</u> a	0.9965655	02	49776	1+8	3.28	8082075122044E-002
b	-1.934951	49	010083	8+0	.16	60782070136608i
D Z	0.9684600	94	085827	7-1.	.84	204002255684i
3 0						
$T(z) \equiv w =$	0.1341180	58	69943	5-0	35	573213541146i
(0						
Altitude, h	48° 22' 08	•				
Azimuth, Z	290° 39.4					

### Rotations on the Complex Plane

Alternatively can be written

$$T(z_{GP}) = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{h}{2}\right)e^{iZ} = e^{-i\lambda}\left(\frac{z_{GP} - z_{AP}}{\overline{z}_{AP}z_{GP} + 1}\right)$$
  
where  $z_{AP}$  is the observer's Assumed Position (AP),  $z_{AP} = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{L}{2}\right)e^{i\lambda}$ 

and  $z_{GP}$  is the Geographic Position (GP) of the celestial body

For stereographic projection with complex plane tangent at North Pole

$$a = e^{i\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}, \qquad b = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{L}{2}\right)e^{i\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}$$
$$z = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)e^{i(\text{GHA})}; \quad T(z_{\text{GP}}) = -e^{i\lambda}\left(\frac{z_{\text{GP}} - z_{\text{AP}}}{\overline{z}_{\text{AP}}z_{\text{GP}} + 1}\right)$$

## Poles of a Great Circle defined by 2 points

Let  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  be two points on the complex plane

The poles  $z_p$  of the great circle passing  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  satisfy

$$\left|\frac{z_p - z_1}{\overline{z}_p z_1 + 1}\right| = \left|\frac{z_p - z_2}{\overline{z}_p z_2 + 1}\right| = 1$$

Solving for  $z_p$  gives

$$z_{p} = i \frac{2 \operatorname{Im}(\overline{z_{1}} z_{2}) \pm |(z_{1} - z_{2})(1 + \overline{z_{1}} z_{2})|}{(1 - |z_{2}|^{2})\overline{z_{1}} - (1 - |z_{1}|^{2})\overline{z_{2}}}$$

- Determination of sextant arc errors simplified if 2 stars can be found at the same azimuth
- Stars will be at the same azimuth when the pole of their great circles is rising or setting

Lord Ellensborough's Method
 Sprigge, J. A., Doak, W. F., Hudson, T. C. & Cox, A. S., Stars and Sextants, J. D. Potter,
 London, 1903 <u>http://www.archive.org/details/starssextants00spri</u>

If  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  represent the poles of 2 great circles then the points  $z_p$  are their intersections

24

#### STARS AND SEXTANTS.

#### DISTANCES OF THE STAR PAIRS, ETC. R.A. and R.A. and Dec. of Dec. of Star Pair. Distance. Star Pair. Distance. Fictitious Fictitious Star. Star. a Ursæ Minoris. a Eridani-continued. • • • • h m \* (Pularis) 11 2410. 5525 8 16 9 268 > Argus ... N. 88 48'. « Argus... 47 50 8 5 1 11 N and :--& Argús. 53 23 58 17 0 21 8 \* /.\*\* h 10 44 32 7 5 59 14 N B Argus. 39 25 21 9 20 1 1 a Persei (Mirfak) ... a Leonis (Reynlus) ..... a Tauri (Atdebaran) .... 119 31 50 15 35 298 73 51 23 10 31 1. a Auriga (Capella) ... 43 20 25 11 14 8 Orionis (Rigel) .... 97 38 40 11 0 a Crucis. 58 53 11 7 1 5 N 64 52 56 19 1 78 62 39 34 7 6 4N 111 33 18 19 18 38 y Orionis (Bellatriv)....... 83 658 1121 1.8 y Crucis,..... & Crucis ..... a Virginis (Spice) ..... # Tanti (Nath) ...... 60 51 27 11 23 1 N & Centauri.... See juige 40. e Orionis (Almihum) .... 90 41 34 11 31 13 91 26 39 11 36 1. ζ Orionis α Orionis (Betelguese)...... 82 7 48 11 51 1 N a Scorpii (Antares) ..... 88 53 2 21 47 18 N 49 5 8 8 42 11 8 B Canis Majoris (Mirzana) ..... 107 33 47 12 17 1 N a Trianguli Austmilis ..... 73 27 3 22 11 22 N Scorpii..... @ Scornii ... 67 58 32 22 5 21 N y Geminorum (Alhena) ..... 73 15 2 12 34 e Sagittarii ..... 70 29 11 22 55 26 N a Aquille (Altair) ..... 954115 2 4 31 N a Puvonis ..... 40 6 51 22 57 27 N a Cygui (Deueb) ..... 119 32 10 4 22 25 N a Gruis..... 32 50 58 1 7 32 N a l'iscis Australis (Fomulhaut 39 6 55 3 38 27 N See page 18. See page 18. a Persei. See page 19-(Mirjuk) 34 18m. N. 49" 31'. # Scorpli (Antores) ...... 117 416 2217 18 a Lyrae (1709a ...... 51 34 46 0 30 1 8 16 20 50 10 52 18 N 11 526 1515 40 N 62 49 54 10 57 20 N 50 20 17 11 32 25 N 31 22 45 12 45 33 N (Acherner) 1h 34m. · Orionis (Alailant) ..... 58 21 21 11 28 24 N 8. 57 43'. COrienis. a Orienis (Betelgaene)..... 59 30 7 11 32 25 N and i-52 49 28 12 7 29 N # Canis Majoris (Mirzam) ..... 78 24 18 11 42 26 N a Persei (Mirfak) ..... 109 20 5 8 32 98 y Geminorum (Allhean) ..... 51 10 54 13 22 36 N a Tauri (Ahielarun) .... 82 29 25 10 2 21 S a Anriga (Copella) ..... B Orionis (Rigel)...... 92 1 55 11 44 27 N 112 49 57 9 47 10 5 e Canis Majoris (ddara) ..... 64 19 43 11 26 28 8 8 Canis Majoris. ..... 90 58 46 12 0 28 N y Orionis (Bellatric) ..... 78 24 8 11 7 27 8 a Geninorum (Castor) ..... 49 0 24 15 32 39 X B Geminorum (Lollus)..... 53 19 24 15 34 19 N B Tauri (Noth)..... 98 20 9 10 26 23 8 y Argus ..... 114 58 10 11 52 27 N « Orionis (Alnilum) ...... 73 4 15 11 32 28 8 a Leonis ( liegalas) ..... 87 49 26 16 40 37 N ¢ Orionis ... 50 57 44 7 42 198 59 39 53 8 10 138 -8 40 34 8 26 98 a Orionis (Hetelquese) ..... B Cauis Majoris (Mirtum) y Unas Majoris (Beachasch). a Lyne (Fegu) ..... y Geminorum (Alhena) ... 81 45 4 23 14 23 8 95 55 2 11 50 308 + Canis Majoris (Adara) ... 60 48 52 14 15 31 S a Aquille (Allair) ...... 97 46 18 1 22 37 8 a Canis Majoris, a Guminorum (Castor). 64 10 31 14 9 31 8 a Cygni (Deneb) ..... 62 41 19 0 9 31 8 118 21 28 633 28 5 115 59 0 12 2 298 a Gruis .... B Geminorum (Pollax) .... 114 16 44 12 26 31 S a Piscia Australia (Fomalhant) 98 58 20 6 15 31 S Look for the Star with the smaller R.A. in bold type.

#### STARS AND SEXTANTS.

25

· DISTA	NCES	OF T	'III	E STAR PAIRS, ET	с.		1
Star Pair.	Distance.	R.A. a. Dec. o Fictitic Star.	nd of ous	Star Pair.	Distance.	R.A De Fict	. and c. of itious tar.
Tauri.			1	a Aurige -continued.		1	1
(Aldebaran) 4 <sup>h</sup> 30 <sup>m</sup> . N. 16 <sup>*</sup> 19 <sup>'</sup> .				a Leonis (Reynlus)	69 35 50	h 10 16 56	44 N
nd :	+ + +	h m		a Urse Majoris (Dubles)	49 17 5	917	25 8
Aurigae (Copella)	30 41 44	10 12 13	SN	n Urse Majoris (Beachanch) a Lyre (Veya)	74 25 23	9 37	21 8
Orionis (Bellatrix)	15 45 29	11 54 51	N	a Aquille (Altair)	1151225	1 37	285
Orionts (Almilam)	23 8 2	9 34 37 11 26 41	N	a Cygui (Deneb) a Piscis Australis (Fomelheuel)	78 10 33	0 56	138 41 S
Orionis. Orionis (Betelgnesc)	24 25 50 21 23 31	11 27 42	N	β Orionis.			
Canis Majoris (Mirzam) Geminorum (Alhena)	43 20 54	11 22 37	NS	(Rigel) 5h 10m.			
Canis Majoris (Adara)	57 4 4	11 17 36	N	and :			
Canis Majoris	56 40 54	11 27 40	N	γ Orionis (Bellatrie)	14 47 22	11 12	105
Geminorum (Pollar)	45 1 45	\$ 15 62	S	e Orionis (Alailam)	8 50 20	11 32	16 S
Argûs	88 42 44	11 2 24	Ň	a Orionis (Betelgnese)	18 36 20	11 30	328
Argûs	88 29 19	t1 8 30	N	B Canis Majoris (Mirzam)	19 13 47	10 17	58 N
Argus. Leonis (Regalus)	98 35 22 80 8 18	18 47 71	N	ε Canis Majoris (Adara)	32 4 19 32 5 19	11 32	38 S 46 N
Urse Majoris (Debhc) Crucis	78 43 52	9 56 26	S N	a Geminorum (Castor)	32 33 29 52 12 19	10 26	52 N 36 S
Crucis	119 18 55	11 9 12	N	B Geminorum (Pollar)	51 22 54	11 40	42 8
Ursæ Majoris (Alioth) Ursæ Majoris (Benetuasek)	93 56 4	9 58 26	S	y Argús	53 52 58	10 49	16 N
Lyrae (Vegu) Cyoni (Deuda)	117 52 54	11 2 25	NN	δ Argûs 8 Argûs	62 20 19	10 48	J2 N
Genio	90 37 34		2	* Leonis (Doubled)	10 1 14	10,0	1921
l'iscis Australis (Fomalkant)	93 32 15	8 50 55	S	a Urse Majoris (Dubhe)	95 56 55	11 26	27 8
Aurigæ.				γ Crucis	90 Ja 49 93 14 40	10 50	20 N 31 N
Capella) 5h 10m.				ß Crucis	94 16 4	10 52	28 N
d:-				<ul> <li>Ursæ Majoris (Alioth)</li> <li>a Virginis (Spica)</li> </ul>	110 33 39	9 26	32 S 71 N
Orionis (Rigel) Orionis (Rellatrix)	See	page 33	J.	B Centauri a Trianguli Australis	101 51 21	10 56	22 N
Tanri (Nath),	17 29 59	11 32 6	N	a Pavonis	104 12 59	11 22	238
Orionis	48 14 48	11 37 7	Ň	a Gruis	95 445 893555	11 35	40 S 58 S
Orionis (Betelynese) Cauis Majoris (Mirzena)	19 19 1	11 58 12	NN	γ Orionis.			
Geminorum (Alhena)	34 5 6	13 4 25	Ň	(Bellatrix) 5h 20m,			
Canis Majoris	76 42 38	12 28 18	Ň	and :-			
Geminorum (Castor) Geminorum (Pollur)	29 59 3	15 50 42	NN	β Tauri (Nath)	22 15 50	11 20	o IS N
Argûs	100 43 14	12 37 20	NN	( Orlonis	9 9 51	11 30	25 N
Argûs	109 53 18	12 39 21	N	β Canis Majoris (Mirzam)	28 9 11	11 35	30 N
Look	for the St	ar with t	the	maller P A in hold turn			-
LIOOK	ing the Of	ar with t	are a	minister in at in bonn type.			

B

ð

a ß

7 .

õ

₿ a

a

7 .

7) a

a

a

.

13

an

A

YB

2

β

7 = 5

a B

	Angular Distance bet	tween Sta	nrs	<u>S</u>				
	α Ursae Minoris (Polaris)						_	
<i>(</i> )	RA, GHA or Longitude	1	h	22	m	33.70	s	
outs	Declination or Latitude	88	0	46	'	26.0	"	
lnp	α Tauri (Aldebaran)		_					
	RA, GHA or Longitude	4	h	30	m	10.90	s	
	Declination or Latitude	16	0	18	'	30.0	s	
							_	
suc	Z <sub>1</sub>	87.4569694	.04	585	+3	2.94334	40	8647948i
atic	<b>z</b> <sub>2</sub>	0.50971383	44	784	6+	1.2333	22	26643108i
Calcul	$ (z_1-z_2)/(\overline{z}_2z_1+1) $	0.7380191						
Results	Angular Distance, <i>d</i>	72° 51' 22"						
Control	<u>Select</u> Format for 1 <sup>st</sup> point Format for 2 <sup>nd</sup> point	hhmmss hhmmss		ddm ddm	mss			

B1900 coordinates

 $z = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)e^{i(\text{RA})}$ 

$$d = 2 \tan^{-1} \left| \frac{z_1 - z_2}{\overline{z_2} z_1 + 1} \right|$$

in reference  $d = 72^{\circ}51'23''$ 

Lord Ellenborough's	Mothod						
		-				$\vdash$	
α Ursae Minoris (Polaris)							
Right Ascension, $\alpha_1$	1	h	24	m	00.00	s	
Declination, $\delta_1$	88	0	48	'	00.0	"	
α Tauri (Aldebaran)							
Right Ascension, $\alpha_2$	4	h	30	m	00.00	s	
Declination, $\delta_2$	16	•	19	'	00.0	"	
z <sub>1</sub>	89.147104956241	4+	-34.22	203	674202	228	35i
<b>Z</b> <sub>2</sub>	0.5107686906721	11	+1.23	331	047002	256	615i
$2 \ln(z_1 \ \overline{z}_2)$	184.8980437						
$ (z_1-z_2)(1+z_1 \ \overline{z_2}) $	12118.97404						
$(1- z_2 ^2) \ \overline{z}_1 - (1- z_1 ^2) \ \overline{z}_2$	4587.1382823672	9-	11215	5.7	704498	88	1i
<i>z</i> <sub>p1</sub>	-0.9398105439315	51	1+0.3	84	373141	68	4945i
<b>z</b> <sub>p2</sub>	0.9115642926804	5-(	0.372	82(	0706564	45	92i
Fictitious Stars Positions							
Right Ascension, $\alpha_1$	10h 31m 01s						
Declination, $\delta_1$	0° 52' 27"						
Right Ascension, $\alpha_2$	22h 31m 01s						
Declination, $\delta_2$	-0° 52' 27"						

$$z = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)e^{i(\text{RA})}$$

$$z_{p} = i \frac{2 \operatorname{Im}(\overline{z}_{1} z_{2}) \pm |(z_{1} - z_{2})(1 + \overline{z}_{1} z_{2})|}{(1 - |z_{2}|^{2}) \overline{z}_{1} - (1 - |z_{1}|^{2}) \overline{z}_{2}}$$

$$\alpha = \arg(z); \quad \delta = 2 \tan^{-1} |z| - \frac{\pi}{2}$$

in reference 
$$\alpha = 10^{h} 31^{m}$$
,  $\delta = +1^{\circ}$ 

## **Clearing Lunar Distances**

- An exercise in spherical trigonometry
- Does not depend on spherical coordinates
  - complex numbers loose some of their advantages
- Choose to map the zenith to zero on the complex plane
  - i.e. complex plane is tangent to the sphere at the zenith point



Where *d* = measured angular distance between the Moon and Star

$$|z_1| \equiv z_1 = \tan\left(\frac{\mathrm{ZD}_{\mathfrak{D}}}{2}\right); \quad |z_2| \equiv z_2 = \tan\left(\frac{\mathrm{ZD}_{\star}}{2}\right)$$

Formulas entirely in terms of tangents of the half lengths of the sides

## The star $\alpha$ Pegasi is observed on 31st December, 1884 from Absarat, Nubia, Nile Valley

Wilberforce Clarke, H., *Longitude by Lunar Distances*, W. H. Allen & Co., London, 1885; <u>http://books.google.com/books?id=mtoMAAAAYAAJ</u>.

	<b>Clearing Lunar Distanc</b>	e Sight					
	Apparent lunar distance, d	103	0	26	•	24	"
	Apparent lunar altitude, h <sub>M</sub>	35	0	37	'	28	"
uts	Apparent stellar altitude, $h_S$	40	0	17	'	24	"
dul	Geocentric lunar altitude, $h'_M$ Geocentric stellar altitude, $h'_S$	36 40	0 0	26 16	'	01 15	"
			_				
	z <sub>1</sub>	0.513661					
	z <sub>2</sub>	0.463230					
JS							
ior	tan²d/2	1.605615					
ulat	cos θ	-0.982350					-
alcı	z' <sub>1</sub>	0.504768	-				
ပ	<b>z</b> ′ <sub>2</sub>	0.463433					
	· <sup>2</sup> "·	4 504077					
	tan-072	1.561277	_				$\vdash$
(0							
ults	Cooportria lunar distance d'	1020 201 20 6"					_
ses		102 39 30.0	-				-
			-				

$$|z_{1}| \equiv z_{1} = \tan\left(\frac{ZD_{y}}{2}\right); \quad |z_{2}| \equiv z_{2} = \tan\left(\frac{ZD_{\star}}{2}\right)$$

$$\cos\theta = \frac{z_{1}^{2} + z_{2}^{2} - (1 + z_{1}^{2}z_{2}^{2})\tan^{2}\frac{d}{2}}{2z_{1}z_{2}\left(1 + \tan^{2}\frac{d}{2}\right)}$$

$$\tan^{2}\frac{d'}{2} = \frac{z_{1}'^{2} + z_{2}'^{2} - 2z_{1}'z_{2}'\cos\theta}{1 + z_{1}'^{2}z_{2}'^{2} + 2z_{1}'z_{2}'\cos\theta}$$

in reference  $d' = 102^{\circ}39'30''$ 

### **Extensions and Generalizations**

The rotational form

$$T(z) = \frac{az+b}{-\overline{b}z+\overline{a}}$$

has deep connections to other fields. Coefficients *a* and *b* are related to

- Cayley-Klein coefficients that appear in quantum mechanics of spin <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> particles
- Quaternion representation of rotations used in video game algorithms

Bilinear form accommodates relativistically correct Lorentz boost

$$T(z) = \frac{az+b}{\overline{b}z+d}; \quad a,d \in \mathbb{R}$$

➢ Simplifies calculation of annual aberration (≈ 0.3′ effect)

$$\tan\frac{\theta'}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{c-v}{c+v}} \tan\frac{\theta}{2}$$

> Pure Lorentz boost is formally a rotation through an imaginary angle!

	EX-MERIDIAN STAR PAIRS, WITH DISTANCES FOR EVERY TEN DAYS. (See Introduction, p. xiv.)								RS, N DAYS.	
<ul> <li>θ Scorp</li> <li>R.A. 17<sup>h</sup> 3</li> <li>Dec. 42<sup>a</sup> 5</li> <li>Mag. 2.0</li> </ul>	III. bii and a <b>O</b> phiuchi, b <sup>om</sup> . R.A. 17 <sup>h</sup> 30 <sup>m</sup> 6' S Dec. 12 <sup>*</sup> 38' N. . Mag. 2*1	a Pavoni R.A. 20 <sup>b</sup> 18 Dec. 57 <sup>*</sup> 2 Mag. 2 <sup>•</sup> 0	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				VI. (a Urser Minoris) and dir (a Cassiopeia). 4 <sup>m</sup> - B.A. o <sup>h</sup> 55 <sup>m</sup> 5' N. Dec. 56 <sup>o</sup> 1' N. - Mag. 2'2-2-8			
Date.	Distance.	Date.	Distance.	Date.		Distance.	Date,		Distance.	
Jan. 1 11 11 121 31 Feb. 10 Mar. 1 11 11 31 Apr. 10 30 30 30 10 30 10 30 10 30 10 30 10 30 30 10 10 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Jan. 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dce.	IIII IIIII IIIII IIIII IIIII IIIII IIIII IIIIII		Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May June Juny Aug, Sept, Oot, Nov, Dec,	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	*99 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	

#### Effect of aberration of light on distance between star pairs

Sprigge, J. A., Doak, W. F., Hudson, T. C. & Cox, A. S., *Stars and Sextants*, J. D. Potter, London, 1903 <u>http://www.archive.org/details/starssextants00spri</u>

### Relativistic Aberration under Stereographic Projection



### Relativistic Aberration under Stereographic Projection



Aberration moves star positions toward direction of observer's motion

- Equivalent to shifting the plane of stereographic projection
- Construction is exactly correct for special relativity
- Does not work for classical Bradley aberration

## **Summary and Conclusions**

- Representing points in spherical coordinate systems as complex numbers provides an efficient and transparent way of performing calculations needed in Celestial Navigation
  - Involves only the basic arithmetic of complex numbers available on scientific calculators and computer languages
  - Circles on the sphere remain circles on the plane
  - Transforms many problems from trigonometric to algebraic
- Connected in fundamental ways with
  - Theory of conformal mappings
  - Rotations in 3D
  - Lorentz Transformations
- Advantages may be limited for problems not involving spherical coordinates
- Surprising that greater practical use has not been made of these methods

### References

- Stuart, R. G., Quarterly Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society 25 (1984) 126 (http://articles.adsabs.harvard.edu/full/1984QJRAS..25..126S)
- Stuart, R. G., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 400 (2009) 1366
- Stuart, R. G., *NAVIGATION: Journal of the Institute of Navigation*, **56** (2009) 221(preprint <u>http://www.fer3.com/arc/m2.aspx?i=110015&y=200910</u>)