

A Table of the Length of the Knots of the Log-line for different Glasses.

Seconds of Glasses.	Length of Knots.	
	Feet.	In.
24	40	0
25	41	8
26	43	4
27	45	0
28	46	8
29	48	4
30	50	0
31	51	8
32	53	4
33	55	0
34	56	8
35	58	4
36	60	0

The true length of the knots of the log-line here delivered, was first laid down by our countryman, Mr. Richard Norwood, in his *Seaman's Practice*, in the year 1636. For having carefully measured the distance from London to York, and reduced it to the arch of the meridian, and having also found the difference of latitude of those two cities by astronomical observations, he thence inferred a degree of a great circle of the earth to contain 367200 English feet, or about $69\frac{1}{2}$ English statute miles, instead of 60, which

was the common estimation before his time. Hence he concludes a geographical mile, or minute of a great circle of the earth, which is $\frac{1}{60}$ of a degree, to contain 6120 feet, and therefore, that the length of the knots of a log-line for a half-minute glass should be 51 feet, which is the same part of a geographical mile, or 6120 feet, as half a minute is of an hour, namely, $\frac{1}{120}$ th. But he adds, because it is safer to have the reckoning rather before the ship, than after it; therefore 50 feet may be taken as the proper length of each knot, which I have here accordingly followed.

Before Mr. Richard Norwood's accurate mensuration, the length of the knots of the log-line was made 42 feet for a half-minute glass; and, notwithstanding the measures of the degrees of the earth, as well as daily experience shew it to be too short, yet it is used by many to this day. This division of the log-line was founded on a supposition, that a degree of a great circle of the earth consists of 60 miles, each containing 5000 English feet; whence a minute of a great circle, or a geographical mile, should contain 5000 feet; and the 120th part of 5000 feet, or $41\frac{2}{3}$, or, in round number, 42 feet, should therefore be the length of each knot. But as a degree of a great circle of the earth is found to contain $69\frac{1}{2}$ English miles, the length of the knots of the log-line ought to be increased to 50 or 51 feet.

I shall only add, that though many, through prejudice, still retain the erroneous division of 42 feet, yet I could never hear one tolerable argument brought in defence of it. For my own part, I can affirm, that I found the true division of 50 feet here proposed, to answer very nearly to the observations of the ship's latitude, both in my voyage to St. Helena, and in my voyage on my return, when without
the

the tropics ; for within the tropics, and in the course of the trade winds, there are constant and considerable currents, which seem to tend in the same direction with those winds, and most probably derive their origin from them. On the other hand, what journals of ships I have had an opportunity to inspect, which have made long runs to the eastward, and have used too short a division of the log-line, I have found to reckon the longitude 10 or 12 degrees too far to the eastward upon the making of land, as one might naturally expect from the cause of error here pointed out.

Directions

Directions for observing the Beginning and Ending of an Eclipse of the Moon, and an Immersion or Emerision of the Satellites of Jupiter.

THOUGH the method of finding the longitude by distances of the moon from the sun and fixed stars is both sufficiently exact for general use, and may be equally used at sea and land, yet it cannot but be an advantage to have it in our power to practise every method of finding the longitude, whether of a ship at sea, or of any port at land.

The beginning and ending of an eclipse of the moon is very easily observed ; and being compared with the times calculated, taken from an exact ephemeris, will determine the longitude of a ship at sea, where the observation is made within a degree ; the difference between the apparent times of the observations at the ship, and those calculated in the ephemeris, shewing the longitude of the ship in time, reckoned from the meridian to which the computations of the ephemeris are adapted.

The observations must be made by the help of a watch, corrected from an altitude of the sun or a star, according to the rules given in the preceding treatise. But if an eclipse of the moon should be observed at any place at land, whose longitude we desire to know, this may be found more exactly by comparing the observation with a corresponding one, made at some other place at land, whose longitude is known.

The

The beginning and ending of an eclipse of the moon may be observed very well with the naked eye ; but if any telescope is used, it must be one that has a great deal of light, and does not magnify much. Those called night glasses may be very conveniently used for this purpose, in which case I should advise placing a circular aperture at the object end, about half or $\frac{1}{3}$ of the size of the common aperture.

The shadow begins to come upon the moon on the east side, and goes off on the west side. The first obscuration that comes upon the moon, called the penumbra, is not perfectly dark ; but after a few minutes, the true and darker shadow will begin to make its appearance upon the moon's limb, in the place which lies immediately behind the penumbra. At the ending of the eclipse the true shadow goes off first, and the penumbra follows it. If the observer notes the time of the appearance and disappearance of the penumbra, or false shadow, as well as of the darker and true shadow, it will appear that he has not mistaken the former for the latter, as they are apt to do, who are not used to the observation.

If the eclipse be total, the immersion into, and emergence out of, total darkness, are two other observations to be made, and will equally serve to determine the longitude.

The immersions and emergences of Jupiter's satellites afford the best general method known for determining the longitudes of places at land, and could they be likewise observed at sea, as there is room to hope they may, by the help of the marine chair, the longitude might thence be determined, as often as such observations were obtained.

A refracting telescope of ten feet, or a reflecting telescope of one foot at least, will be necessary for observing these eclipses, though a refractor of 15 feet, and a reflector of 18 inches, or two feet, would answer still better.

In general, the immersions only are visible, before the opposition of Jupiter to the sun ; and, after the opposition, the emersions only, the body of Jupiter hiding the other phenomenon. This is always the case with respect to the first satellite ; but sometimes the immersions and emersions of the other satellites, especially the remoter ones, are both visible. The immersions and emersions before the opposition happen on the west side of Jupiter, as after the opposition they happen on the east side : only if an astronomical refracting telescope be used, consisting of two convex glasses, the appearances will be inverted, and the eclipses will seem to happen on the east side before the opposition, and on the west side after the opposition.

At the immersions and emersions, which happen within a few days of Jupiter's opposition to the sun, the satellites seem to touch his body ; but at all other times they fall into, or come out of the shadow at some distance from his limb, which is greater, the further he is from the opposition, and the nearer to the quadrature with the sun. Thus the greatest distance of the 1st satellite from Jupiter's limb, at the eclipses, in the quadratures, is a little more than a semidiameter of Jupiter ; of the 2d, not quite two semidiameters ; of the 3d, near three semidiameters ; and of the 4th, five semidiameters. But as it is useful for distinguishing the satellite which is to immerse into the shadow from the rest, or as a direction whereabouts to look for the satellite which is to emerge out of the shadow, to know nearly the
distance

distance it should be at from Jupiter's limb, I have added the following Table for this purpose, which may always be depended upon within a tenth part of the whole, the general form of it not admitting of a greater degree of precision, on account of the excentricity of the orbits of Jupiter and the earth.

It should be carefully noted, that the apparent distances in this table are those at the regular eclipses, namely, at the immerfions before the opposition, and the emerfions after the opposition ; but at the emerfions which are visible before the opposition, and at the immerfions which are visible after the opposition, the distances of the satellites from Jupiter's limb will be less than is set down in the table, by a quantity which bears the same proportion to Jupiter's diameter, as the duration of the eclipse does to the longest durations of the eclipses when the satellites are in the nodes. The greatest durations of the eclipses of the first, second, third and fourth satellites are 2h. 16m. 2h. 54m. 3h. 34m. and 4h. 48m. respectively.

A Table of the apparent Distance of the Satellites of Jupiter from his Limb at the time of the Eclipses, for every tenth Day of Jupiter's Distance from the Opposition or Conjunction.

Dist. of Jupiter from opposition of ☉.	Dist. of the satellites from Jupiter's limb at the eclipses, in semidiameters of Jupiter and decimal parts.				Dist. of Jupiter from conjunction with ☉.	Dist. of the satellites from Jupiter's limb at the eclipses, in semidiameters of Jupiter and decimal parts.			
	Days.	1st sat.	2d sat.	3d sat.		4th sat.	Days.	1st sat.	2d sat.
10	0, 20	0, 33	0, 50	0, 85	10	0, 15	0, 25	0, 35	0, 55
20	0, 40	0, 66	1, 05	1, 66	20	0, 30	0, 45	0, 70	1, 25
30	0, 60	0, 95	1, 50	2, 65	30	0, 40	0, 67	1, 05	1, 70
40	0, 75	1, 20	1, 90	3, 35	40	0, 55	0, 90	1, 40	2, 50
50	0, 90	1, 40	2, 25	3, 95	50	0, 70	1, 00	1, 80	3, 20
60	1, 00	1, 60	2, 50	4, 40	60	0, 80	1, 25	2, 00	3, 50
70	1, 05	1, 70	2, 66	4, 70	70	0, 90	1, 40	2, 25	3, 95
80	1, 10	1, 75	2, 75	4, 85	80	1, 00	1, 55	2, 45	4, 33
90	1, 10	1, 75	2, 75	4, 85	90	1, 05	1, 66	2, 60	4, 60
100	1, 10	1, 70	2, 70	4, 80	100	1, 10	1, 75	2, 70	4, 80

N

The

The distance of the satellites from Jupiter's limb in the foregoing table, are to be measured either in a line with Jupiter's equator, or longer axis, or in a line parallel thereto; or, which is the same thing, to his belts; for the satellites generally appear a little to the north or south of this line.

During the space of six years, while Jupiter is passing from the ascending to the descending nodes of the satellites, as from the beginning of 1760 to the beginning of 1766, the satellites are eclipsed to the north of the equator, departing to the greatest distance from thence at the middle of this period. Thus, at this present time, or the beginning of 1763, the 1st satellite is eclipsed $\frac{1}{4}$ th of Jupiter's semidiameter, and the 2d about half his semidiameter to the north of his equator, and the 3d almost in a line with his north pole; the 4th goes north of his pole, and therefore at present is not eclipsed at all, nor will be all this year.

For the like space of six years more, while Jupiter is passing from the descending to the ascending nodes of the satellites, as from the beginning of 1766 to the beginning of 1772, the satellites will be eclipsed to the south of Jupiter's equator, departing to the greatest distance from thence at the middle of this period, as in 1769. And thus every six years the eclipses happen alternately to the north and south of Jupiter's equator.

The first and second satellites are most useful for finding the longitudes of places, the tables of the first never erring more than 1m. of time, and those of the 2d not more than 4m. Therefore, if the time of the total immersion or first emergence of either of these satellites, corrected from an altitude of the sun or a star, according to the rules in Chap.

III.

III. of the preceding treatise, be compared with the time set down in an exact ephemeris, the difference will shew the difference of longitude in time from the meridian of the ephemeris, which is turned into degrees and minutes, at the rate of 15d. to 1h. and 15m. to 1m. of time, &c. But if a corresponding observation can be obtained, made in any place whose longitude is known, the longitude will be found independently of any error of the tables.

I shall conclude this subject with pointing out a method of taking altitudes at land with Hadley's quadrant, whence the latitude may be found, and the time of the day computed, as from observations made at sea.

Prepare some shallow vessel, and pour water, quicksilver, or, which would be still better, some thick oil or treacle into it, and place it near some window within a room, sheltered as much as possible from the wind, and move the index of the quadrant till the sun or star in the heavens is brought down by reflection to appear conjoined to the reflected image of the sun or star in the vessel, holding a dark glass close before the eye, in order to darken both images of the sun. Then half the angle that the index points at, if the quadrant is rightly adjusted; or the half of the numbers on the quadrant, first corrected for the error of the adjustment, if any, is the apparent altitude of the sun or star. From which subtract the refraction, according to table, page 1, and you have the true altitude, the complement of which to 90d. is the zenith distance, with which compute the latitude or time in the common manner. The quadrant may be adjusted, or the error of the adjustment found by any straight object, which is not less than a quarter of a mile off. If the altitude of the sun or star be above 45d. they must be taken by the back observation.

*To find the Latitude from the apparent Meridian
Altitude of a Star observed at Sea.*

FROM the apparent altitude of the star observed above the horizon of the sea, subtract the sum of the dip and refraction, according to the tables in page 1, and you have the true altitude of the star, the complement of which to 90d. is the zenith distance ; with which, and the star's declination, taken out of table page 2 and 3, find the latitude in the very same manner as you would do from the sun.

E X A M P L E.

May 9, 1762, at night, I observed the meridian altitude of the Virgin's Spike 30d. $48\frac{1}{2}$ m. I subtract the sum of the dip 4m. and refraction 2m. which leaves the true altitude of the Virgin's Spike 30d. $48\frac{1}{2}$ m. and therefore the zenith distance is 59d. $17\frac{1}{2}$ m. The declination of the Virgin's Spike, by table, page 2, is 9d. 54m. S. which, subtracted from the zenith distance, 59d. $17\frac{1}{2}$ m. leaves the latitude 49d. $23\frac{1}{2}$ m. N. Near an hour afterwards, by the meridian altitude of Arcturus, 61d. 6m. I found the latitude only 1m. greater. The ship had not made any northing or southing between the observations, and the latitude by account carried on from the noon but one before, for the noon before it was cloudy, was 49d. 17m.

The example here given shews the great use that may often be made of this method of finding the latitude; for we had no observation of the sun for the latitude on the noon before, whence our latitude by account must be very uncertain ;

tain ; and at day-break, a few hours after finding the latitude from the altitudes of these two stars, we discovered the Scilly Isles.

To find the Latitude from the apparent Meridian Altitude of the Moon observed at Sea.

From the observed meridian altitude of the moon's lower limb, subtract the sum of the dip and refraction, by tables, page 1. and add 16m. for the moon's semidiameter ; or, from the observed altitude of the moon's upper limb subtract the sum of the dip, refraction, and 16m. for the moon's semidiameter ; or, from the observed altitude of the moon's centre subtract the sum of the dip and refraction only ; gives the altitude of the moon's centre correct, to which add the parallax of altitude, taken out of table, page 26, gives the true altitude of the moon's centre, the complement of which to 90d. is the moon's zenith distance. The present horisontal parallax of the moon, with which the table is to be entered, may be taken out of an ephemeris ; or, if one containing it be not at hand, the mean horisontal parallax, 57m. may be used, as the error arising from hence will never exceed 4m.

Next, the moon's present declination must be found. For this purpose, first take the time of the moon's passing the meridian out of an ephemeris, and the daily difference of the same ; and say, as 24h. more the said difference, is to the longitude of the ship, reckoned from the meridian of the ephemeris, turned into time by table, page 3 and 4, so is the same daily variation to a number of minutes, which add to the time of the moon's passing the meridian in the ephemeris, if you are to the west of that meridian, or subtract if you are to the east of it, and you have the correct time
of

of the moon's passing the meridian of the ship. To this add or subtract your longitude from the meridian of the ephemeris, turned into time, according as you are to the west or east of that meridian, and you have the time of the moon's passing the meridian of the ship, reduced to the time of the meridian of the ephemeris. Then say, as 24 hours is to the time just found, so is the moon's daily variation of declination, taken out of the ephemeris, to the variation of declination from the noon of the ephemeris to the present time nearly; which add to the moon's declination in the ephemeris for the noon before, if the declination is increasing, or subtract if it is decreasing, and you have the moon's present declination very nearly. This may be further corrected in the following manner. Take out of the ephemeris the moon's declination for the noon but one before, the noon before, the noon after, and the noon but one after; take the sum of the first and last declinations, and also the sum of the two middle declinations; and take the difference of these two sums; and $\frac{1}{4}$ th of the difference, and say, as 24 hours is to the correct time of the moon's passing the meridian, reduced to the meridian of the ephemeris found above; so is $\frac{1}{4}$ th of the difference of the two sums to a first number. Say again, as 24h. is to the correct time of the moon's passing the meridian, reduced to the meridian of the ephemeris, so is the first number, just found, to a second number: take the difference of the first and second numbers, and add it to the moon's declination found nearly above, if the sum of the two middle declinations, found above, is greater than the sum of the two extreme declinations; else subtract it, and you have the moon's true declination; with which and the moon's zenith distance, found above from observation, find the latitude as from the sun by the common rules.

The

The correction of the moon's declination here exhibited may sometimes amount to 10m. when the declination is great, which may seem too much to be neglected; but if the declination is under 10d. the correction will be inconsiderable, and need not be applied at all.

It will be proper to compute the apparent time of the moon's passing the meridian of the ship before-hand, in order to be prepared for the observation of the meridian altitude, the rule for which is given in the directions above. For the same reason it would be necessary to compute the apparent time of a star's passing the meridian before-hand, when it is proposed to observe its meridian altitude for finding the latitude. This is done by subtracting the present right ascension of the sun from the right ascension of the star; the remainder, turned into time by table, page 3 and 4, is the apparent time of the star's passing the meridian.

E X A M P L E.

Of the Calculation of the Latitude from the meridian Altitude of the Moon's Centre observed at Sea, March 5, 1762, at Night, 61d. 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ m.

I subtract the sum of the dip 4m. and refraction $\frac{1}{2}$ m. there remains 60d. 56m. the altitude of the moon's centre corrected; to which I add 29m. the moon's parallax in altitude, the horizontal parallax of the moon being at this time 60m. and I have the true altitude of the moon's centre 61d. 25m. whence the zenith distance is 28d. 35m.

By the Abbè de la Caille's ephemeris for ten years, the moon passes the meridian at Paris, March 5, at 7h. 58m.
P. M.

P. M. and March 6, at 8h. 58m. P. M. whence the daily difference is 60m. The longitude of the ship was 17d. 1m. west of Greenwich, or 19d. 21m. west of Paris, which, turned into time, is 1h. 17m. Therefore say, as 24h. + 60m. or 25h. is to 1h. 17m. :: so is 60m. : to 3m. nearly, which added to 7h. 58m. the time of the moon's passing the meridian at Paris, because the ship is in longitude west from Paris, gives 8h. 1m. P. M. the time of the moon's passing the meridian of the ship. To this add 1h. 17m. the longitude reckoned from Paris in time, the sum is 9h. 18m. the time of the moon's passing the meridian of the ship, reduced to the time of the meridian of Paris. Then say, as 24h. is to 9h. 18m. so is 73m. the moon's daily variation of declination, from March 5 to March 6, by the ephemeris, to 28m. which subtract from 27d. 20m. the moon's declination March 5 at noon, by the ephemeris, because the declination is decreasing, leaves 26d. 52m. the moon's declination nearly.

To correct it, take out of the ephemeris the moon's declination on the preceding noon, 27d. 20m. N. the following noon, 26d. 7m. N. the noon but one before, 26d. 47m. and the noon but one after, 23d. 4m. the sum of the two middle declinations is 53d. 27m. and of the two extreme declinations, 49d. 51m. The difference is 3d. 36m. $\frac{1}{4}$ th of which is 54m. Then say, as 24h. : is to 9h. 18m. the time of the moon's passing the meridian of the ship, reduced to Paris time :: so is 54m. : to a first number 21m. Again, as 24h. : is to 9h. 18m. : so is the first number 21m. : to a second number 8m. The difference of the first number 21m. and the second number 8m. is 13m. which add to 26d. 52m. the moon's declination found nearly above, because the sum of the two middle declinations is greater than the sum of the two extreme declinations, and

you

you have the moon's true declination, 27d. 5m. N. This subtracted from 28d. 35m. the moon's zenith distance, inferred above from the observation, leaves the latitude of the ship 1d. 30m. S. which is only 2m. less than the latitude by account, carried on from the meridian altitude of the sun at noon.

E X A M P L E.

Of a Calculation of the Longitude from Observations of the Distance of the Moon from Antares, taken at Sea, May 13, 1762, in the Morning, in Sight of the Lizard Lights.

O B S E R V A T I O N S.

May 13, 1762, at 1h. 47m. 54s. A. M. per watch, the apparent altitude of the bright star of the Harp from the horizon of the sea was taken, 75d. 12m. The dip of the sea is 5m. and the error of the quadrant is 2m. to be added to the observation. At this time the Lizard lights bore N. 63d. W. distant by estimation 13 miles. But the latitude of the Lizard being 49d. 57m. N. and its longitude 5d. 43m. west of the Royal Observatory at Greenwich, the latitude of the ship is 49d. 51m. N. and its longitude 5d. 25m. west of Greenwich.

Here follow five observations of the distance of Antares, or the Scorpion's Heart, from the moon's eastern and remotest limb, together with the time shewn by the watch, and the corresponding altitudes of the star, and of the moon's lower limb, observed at the same time. There is 1m. 50s. to be added to the distances of Antares from the moon's limb, for the error of the adjustment of the quadrant.

drant. But the altitudes of the moon and star are only to be corrected by subtracting 4m. on account of the dip of the horizon.

May 13, 1762. time per watch.			Obs. dist. of Antares fr. D's remotest limb.			Obs. alt. of D's lower limb.		Obs. alt. of Antares.	
H.	M.	S.	D.	M.	S.	D.	M.	D.	M.
1	55	45	43	45	25	8	49	11	58
2	0	50	43	46	35	9	9	11	45
2	5	15	43	48	40	9	26	11	18
2	11	5	43	51	0	9	49	11	0
2	16	30	43	53	40	10	2	10	44
Mean of times.			Mean dist.			Mean alt. of D's lower limb.		Mean alt. of Antares.	
2	5	53	43	48	50	9	27	11	21

Computation of the Time by the Altitude of the bright Star of the Harp. See Chap. III.

Its right ascension at this time, by table, page 3, is 277d. 13m. and its declination 38d. 34m. N.

Observed altitude of bright star of the Harp	75	12
Add for error of quadrant	+	2
Subtract 5 for the dip, the refraction being 0	-	5
True altitude of star	75	9
Subtract from	90	0
True zenith distance of star	14	51
Dist. of star from elevated or north pole = $90^\circ - 38^\circ 34'$ =	51	26
Colatitude = $90^\circ - 49^\circ 51'$ =	40	9
Sum	106	26
Half sum	53	13

Arith.

Arith. compl. of sine of colatitude	40	9	0 19058
Arith. compl. of sine of polar distance	51	26	0.10686
Sine of half sum — colat. = $53^{\circ}13' - 40^{\circ}9' =$	13	4	9.35427
Sine half sum — pol. dist. = $53^{\circ}13' - 51^{\circ}26' =$	1	47	8.49304
Sum of four logarithms			18.14475
Half sum			9.07237
Is sine of			6° 47'
		2	
	13	34	
Doubled is horary angle, or distance of Lyra from the meridian to the east; therefore substract it from the right ascension of Lyra	277	13	
Gives right ascension of mid-heaven	263	39	

The watch being about half an hour too slow for the sun, and the time shewn by the watch at taking the altitude of Lyra, being 1h. 47m. 54s. the apparent time is about 2h. 18m. A. M. or, in astronomical reckoning, May 12, 14h. 18m. P. M. to this add 22m. for 5d. 25m. of longitude west of Greenwich, gives the apparent time reduced to the meridian of Greenwich nearly, May 12, 14h. 40m. P. M. to which time the sun's longitude by computation is 15. 22d. 13m. Hence, by table, page 27, the sun's right ascension is 49d. 47m. which substracted from 263d. 39m. the right ascension of the mid-heaven found above, leaves 213d. 52m. for the apparent time in parts of the equator, which, by table, page 3 and 4, gives the apparent time 14h. 15m. 28s. But the time by the watch was 13h. 47m. 54s. therefore the watch is 27m. 34s. too slow for the sun; which is confirmed by several other altitudes of Lyra, and of other stars, and also by altitudes of the sun taken in the afternoon before, and the morning after the observation of the distance of the moon from Antares.

The ship did not go above half a mile between the observation of the altitude of Lyra, and the mean time of the observation of the distances of the moon from Antares. The mean of the times of those observations by the watch is 2h. 5m. 53f. to which, 27m. 34f. being added, by which the watch is too slow for the sun, gives 2h. 33m. 27f. A.M. or, May 12, 14h. 33m. 27f. P. M. in astronomical reckoning, for the apparent time of the mean of the distances of Antares from the moon. To this add 21m. 40f. for 5d. 25m. longitude reckoned west of Greenwich, turned into time, and we have the apparent time reduced to Greenwich, May 12, 14h. 55m. 7f. P. M.

Hence computing, according to Chap. IV. the sun's longitude is 1S. 22d. 14m. 7f. the equation of time $-4m.$ of. to be subtracted from the apparent time at Greenwich, 14h. 55m. 7f. leaves 14h. 51m. 7f. the mean time at Greenwich, to which computing, according to Chap. IV. the moon's longitude comes out 9S. 20d. 9m. 3f. her latitude 4d. 55m. 17f. south; the horisontal parallax 54m. 42f. the apparent semidiameter of the moon, augmented according to her altitude, 14m. 58f. the hourly motion of the moon 30m. 5f. and the multiplier of the difference between the moon's longitude computed, and that inferred from the observations, $29\frac{9}{10}$.

The next thing to be done is to allow for the semidiameter of the moon, refraction, and parallax, in order to reduce the observed distance of the star from the moon's limb, to the true distance of the star from the moon's centre, according to Chap. IV.

1. To

1. To 43d. 48m. 50s. the mean of the 5 observed distances of Antares from the moon's remotest limb, I add 1m. 50s. for the error of the adjustment of the quadrant, and subtract 14m. 58s. the apparent semidiameter of the moon; whence the apparent distance of the star from the moon's centre is 43d. 35m. 42s.

2. To allow for refraction and parallax, the observed altitude of the moon's centre being near 10d. and that of the star being above 10d. I make use of them as taken from the quadrant, only subtracting 4m. for the dip, according to the remark at the end of Chap. V. which makes the calculation somewhat more compendious, as follows:

From 9d. 27m. the mean of the five observed altitudes of the moon's lower limb, I subtract 4m. for the dip of the horizon, and add 15m. for the moon's semidiameter; whence the apparent altitude of the moon's centre is 9d. 38m. and the apparent zenith distance 80d. 22m. and from 11d. 21m. the mean of the observed altitudes of the star, subtracting 4m. for the dip. there remains 11d. 17m. the apparent altitude of the star; whence the apparent zenith distance of the star is 78d. 43m.

Half sum of zenith distances of the moon and star		
79° 32'	tangent	10.7334
Half differences of zenith distances 0° 49'	tangent	8.1539
		<hr/>
Tangent of arc the first, 4° 25'		8.8873
Half apparent distance of star from the moon's centre,		
21° 48'	cotan.	10.3980
		<hr/>
Tangent of arc the second, 10° 55'		9.2853
		<hr/>
		Sum.

The ship did not go above half a mile between the observation of the altitude of Lyra, and the mean time of the observation of the distances of the moon from Antares. The mean of the times of those observations by the watch is 2h. 5m. 53f. to which, 27m. 34f. being added, by which the watch is too slow for the sun, gives 2h. 33m. 27f. A.M. or, May 12, 14h. 33m. 27f. P. M. in astronomical reckoning, for the apparent time of the mean of the distances of Antares from the moon. To this add 21m. 40f. for 5d. 25m. longitude reckoned west of Greenwich, turned into time, and we have the apparent time reduced to Greenwich, May 12, 14h. 55m. 7f. P. M.

Hence computing, according to Chap. IV. the sun's longitude is 1S. 22d. 14m. 7f. the equation of time $-4m.$ of. to be subtracted from the apparent time at Greenwich, 14h. 55m. 7f. leaves 14h. 51m. 7f. the mean time at Greenwich, to which computing, according to Chap. IV. the moon's longitude comes out 9S. 20d. 9m. 3f. her latitude 4d. 55m. 17f. south; the horizontal parallax 54m. 42f. the apparent semidiameter of the moon, augmented according to her altitude, 14m. 58f. the hourly motion of the moon 30m. 5f. and the multiplier of the difference between the moon's longitude computed, and that inferred from the observations, $29\frac{9}{10}$.

The next thing to be done is to allow for the semidiameter of the moon, refraction, and parallax, in order to reduce the observed distance of the star from the moon's limb, to the true distance of the star from the moon's centre, according to Chap. IV.

1. To

1. To 43d. 48m. 50s. the mean of the 5 observed distances of Antares from the moon's remotest limb, I add 1m. 50s. for the error of the adjustment of the quadrant, and subtract 14m. 58s. the apparent semidiameter of the moon; whence the apparent distance of the star from the moon's centre is 43d. 35m. 42s.

2. To allow for refraction and parallax, the observed altitude of the moon's centre being near 10d. and that of the star being above 10d. I make use of them as taken from the quadrant, only subtracting 4m. for the dip, according to the remark at the end of Chap. V. which makes the calculation somewhat more compendious, as follows:

From 9d. 27m. the mean of the five observed altitudes of the moon's lower limb, I subtract 4m. for the dip of the horizon, and add 15m. for the moon's semidiameter; whence the apparent altitude of the moon's centre is 9d. 38m. and the apparent zenith distance 80d. 22m. and from 11d. 21m. the mean of the observed altitudes of the star, subtracting 4m. for the dip. there remains 11d. 17m. the apparent altitude of the star; whence the apparent zenith distance of the star is 78d. 43m.

Half sum of zenith distances of the moon and star		
79° 32'	tangent	10.7334
Half differences of zenith distances 0° 49'	tangent	8.1539
		<hr/>
Tangent of arc the first, 4° 25'		8.8873
Half apparent distance of star from the moon's centre,		
21° 48'	cotan.	10.3980
		<hr/>
Tangent of arc the second, 10° 55'		9.2853

Sum.

Sum of arc 2d and half distance of moon from star			
32° 43'	—		tang. 9.8078
Moon's zenith distance 80° 22'		—	cosine 9.2236
Moon's horifontal parallax 54' 42" = 54', 7			log. 1.7380
			<hr/>
Logarithm of effect of parallax 5', 88 = 5' 53"			0.7694

To be subtracted by the rule, page 42, from the apparent distance of the star from the moon's centre.

Computation of Refraction.

Double arc the first, 8° 50'	—	tangent	9.1914
Constant logarithm	—		2.0569
			<hr/>
Sum	—		11.2483
Double arc the second, 21° 50'		sine subtract,	—9.5704
			<hr/>
Logarithm of 47", the effect of refraction	—		1.6779

From 43d. 35m. 42f. the apparent distance of the star from the moon's centre, subtract the effect of parallax — 5m. 53f. and add the effect of refraction, + 47f. and you have the true distance of Antares from the moon's centre very nearly, 43d. 30m. 36f. There remains only to be applied the little correction of the effect of parallax, the rule for finding of which is given at the end of the Preface.

The principal effect of parallax just found, 5m. 53f. I call the parallax in distance. With the altitude of the moon's centre, 9d. 38m. and the horifontal parallax, 55m. take the moon's parallax in altitude, 54m. out of table, p. 26.

Sum of parallax in altitude, and parallax in dist. 60'	log.	1.778
Difference of parallax in alt. and parallax in dist. 48'	log.	1.681
App. dist. of star from the moon's centre 43° 36'	cotan.	10.021
Constant logarithm		0.941
		<hr/>
Sum, abating 13 from the index		1.421

is

is logarithm of little correction of effect of parallax, 26f. to be added to 43d. 30m. 36f. the true distance of Antares from the moon's centre, found nearly above; whence the true and absolute distance of Antares from the moon's centre is 43d. 31m. 2f.

Next, from the distance here found, 43d. 31m. 2f. and the moon's latitude computed from the tables, 4d. 55m. 17f. S. compute the difference of longitude between the star and the moon, and thence the moon's true longitude, according to Chap. VI.

Distance of Antares from the south pole of the ecliptic,	0	'	"
by table, page 4, is	85	27	25
Distance of the moon from south pole of the ecliptic	85	4	43
Difference of polar distances	0	22	42
Half difference	0	11	21
Half true distance of moon from Antares found above	21	45	31
<hr/>			
Half dist. of moon from star $+\frac{1}{2}$ diff. $21^{\circ} 56' 52''$ sine	9.5725944		
Half dist. of moon from star $-\frac{1}{2}$ diff. $21^{\circ} 34' 10''$ sine	9.5654093		
Polar dist. of Antares $85^{\circ} 27' 25''$ ar. compl. of sine	0.0013666		
Polar dist. of the moon $85^{\circ} 4' 43''$ ar. compl. of sine	0.0016041		
<hr/>			
Sum	19.1409744		
Half sum, is sine of $21^{\circ} 50' 10''$	9.5704872		
Doubled is	43	40	20
diff. of long. of moon and Antares.			
<hr/>			
Longitude of Antares in table, page 4,	8	6	26 59
Subst. 50" because the year precedes 1763 by 1 year			— 50
Add correction for May 13, from table, page 5			+ 53
<hr/>			
True longitude of Antares	8	6	27 2
To which add the difference of longitude of the moon and Antares found above (because the moon is to the east of the star) $=43^{\circ} 40' 20'' =$	1	13	40 20
			True

True longitude of moon inferred from observations	9 20 7 22
Longitude of moon computed from tables to time assumed at Greenwich	9 20 9 3
Longitude of moon computed from tables, greater than that inferred from observations	1 41
Multiply by $29\frac{9}{10}$ the multiplier from table, p. 25,	29 $\frac{9}{10}$
Product shews how much the ship was east of the assumed longitude, according to Chap. VII.	0 ' 50 E
But the longitude of the ship, according to its bearing and distance from the Lizard lights, was assumed west of Greenwich	5 25 W
Therefore the true longitude of the ship by these ob- servations is	4 35 W
And the longitude of the Lizard, being 18' west of that of the ship, is	4 53 W
Which differs 0° 50' from the true longitude assigned to it in the tables.	

In the foregoing observations, from whence the longitude of the ship has been computed, the sea was almost perfectly calm, the motion thereof being very gentle and regular; so that it was rather an advantage to the observations in causing the star to sweep the moon's limb, than of any prejudice. I also determined the error of the adjustment of the quadrant very exactly by means of the moon, particularly by measuring her longest diameter several times with the reflected and direct images brought alternately to contrary sides, with respect to each other; reading the numbers off the quadrant, in one case, in the usual manner to the left hand of the beginning of the divisions, and, in the other case, to the right hand of the same; and taking half the difference of the two measures of the moon's diameter thus found for the error of the adjustment; which method of finding the same I look upon to be as certain as any, especially

cially near the time of the full moon, being more exact than by bringing the two images of the moon into one, and not less so than by the horizon of the sea. The night also was very fine, and there was a good twilight, which afforded me the opportunity of taking the altitudes of several stars pretty exactly; from the comparison of all which together, as well as with the altitudes of the sun taken in the evening before, and in the morning after the observations of the distance of the moon from Antares, I could be very certain of the time of the night at the ship.

1

P

Extrac̄i

Extract of the Journal of a Voyage from England to St. Helena in the Prince Henry East India Ship, corrected by Observations of the Distance of the Moon from the Sun and fixed Stars.

TUESDAY, January 20, 1761, at noon, we took our departure from the Lizard Point, which bore then full north, distant by estimation 21 miles, allowing its longitude to be 5d. 14m. west from London, and its latitude 49d. 27m. north.

Days of the month.	Latitude per observation at noon.		Long. West of London per comm. reckon.		Long. west of D, reduced to nearest noon.		Longit. by D west of comm. reckon- ing.		Number of observations taken of the distance of the moon's E. or W. limb from the sun or stars to the east or west.
	o	'	o	'	o	'	o	'	
Feb. 10	16	49 N	27	33	30	22	2	49	WL 8 from the sun to the W
11	14	3	26	47	29	22	2	35	WL 10 from the sun W
15	5	10	22	44	23	39	0	55	WL 2 from Regulus E
19	1	42	22	44	23	35	0	51	EL 5 from Pollux W
28	9	6 S	26	2	29	44	3	42	EL 8 from the sun E
Mar. 9	24	9	25	55	30	7	4	12	WL 2 from Aldebaran E
10	25	51	24	32	29	32	5	0	WL 1 from Aldebaran E
13	29	49	22	19	27	55	5	36	WL 8 from the sun W
15	30	8	22	8	27	44	5	25	WL 1 from Regulus E
17	30	39	18	58	26	52	4	44	WL 4 from Regulus E
18	31	1	18	47	24	50	5	52	WL 3 from Pollux W
19	31	37	17	7	25	5	6	7	WL 3 from the Virgin's Spike E
20	32	4	15	27	24	0	5	13	WL 4 from Pollux W
25	31	23	5	57	24	33	5	46	WL 4 from the Virgin's Spike E
26	30	51	4	17	21	41	4	34	WL 3 from Pollux W
29	30	32	1	13	20	19	4	52	WL 3 from Pollux W
					22	4	6	37	WL 1 fr. Antares E taken in haste
					12	4	6	7	EL 3 from the Virgin's Spike W
					9	55	5	38	EL 1 from the Virgin's Spike W
					6	49	5	36	EL 3 from the sun E

April

April 6, 7 A. M. we came to an anchor in the harbour before James fort at St. Helena, when the longitude, by the common reckoning, was 1d. 28m. east of London; but from my last observation of the distance of the moon from the sun, March 29, was 4d. 16m. west of London. The longitude of St. Helena I find, by my observations of the eclipses of Jupiter's satellites, to be 5d. 49m. west of Greenwich, or 5d. 44m. west of London. Therefore the error of the ship's common reckoning is 7d. 12m. easterly. But my account, deduced from the distances of the moon from the sun, seven days before we made the island, differs only 1d. 28m. from the true longitude. Many reckonings kept on board the ship were no less than ten degrees erroneous.

Extract of the Journal of a Voyage from St. Helena to England, in the Warwick East India Ship, corrected by Observations of the Distance of the Moon from the Sun and fixed Stars.

FRIDAY, Feb. 19, 1762, at 10 A. M. we got under sail, and at noon took our departure from the island of St. Helena.

Feb. 25, at noon we made the island of Ascension, and at 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ P. M. the Sugar-loaf point of Ascension bore S. W. distance by estimation 12 miles. By the ship's reckoning we made 6d. 50m. difference of longitude from St. Helena to Ascension, though the real difference, from astronomical observations, is 8d. 10m. so that we were set 1d. 20m. to the westward: we were also set 25m. in latitude to the northward in the same time.

Took a fresh departure from Ascension, allowing it to lie, as by astronomical observations, in longitude 13d. 59m. west of the Royal Observatory at Greenwich, and in latitude 7d. 57m. S.

The next night, by a mean of three distances of the moon from Aldebaran, I found my longitude 22m. less than according to my departure, and distance run from that time; hence, by this observation, the longitude of Ascension should be 22m. less than assumed in my departure from thence, or 13d. 37m. west of Greenwich. But, as the longitude found by the observations of the moon, with Mayer's printed tables, is liable to an error, sometimes of
a whole

a whole degree or more, this difference of 22m. is rather to be regarded as a proof of the exactness of this method of determining the longitude, than to be used to correct the assumed longitude, which may be supposed better established by astronomical observations of a more delicate nature made on shore.

Extract of the Journal of the Remainder of the Voyage from our Departure taken from the Island of Ascension to our Arrival at Plymouth.

N. B. The latitudes and longitudes of the following table belong to the noon of the days to which they correspond, the longitudes deduced from the observations of the moon having been reduced always to the noon immediately following them, by means of the ship's reckoning, except towards the end of the table, where the hour of the observations is set down, in which case the latitudes and longitudes are such as correspond to that hour. The bearings and distances of the land are also set down at the times of these latter observations, or as near to them as possible, in order to shew how near the longitudes deduced from the observations of the moon, agree both with one another, and also with the known longitudes of the Scilly Isles, the Lizard, and Plymouth, which may be found very accurately from the tables of the latitudes and longitudes of places at the end of the tables.

Days

Days of the month.	Latitude observed.	Longitude West of Greenwich by common reckoning.	Longitude West, by observations of the moon.	Longit. by D West of comm. reckoning.	Number of observations taken of distance of the moon's E. or W. limb from the sun or stars to the east or west.
Mar. 9, Noon	0 24 N	18 14 W	{ 21 24 21 44	3 10 3 30	WL 4 from Antares to E WL 3 fr. Virgin's Spike E
18, ditto	5 19	22 53	21 19	3 5	WL 3 from Pollux W
28, ditto	15 28	29 14	27 19	4 26	EL 3 from the sun E
29, ditto	17 3	29 45	36 10	6 56	WL 3 from Aldebaran E
Apr. 8, Noon	25 25	32 46	37 1	7 16	WL 2 from Pollux E
17, ditto	32 50	28 41	41 46	9 0	WL 2 from Pollux W
28, ditto	46 34	14 56	38 28	9 47	EL 2 from the sun E.
29, ditto	46 54	14 7	{ 22 9 22 17	7 13 7 21	WL 3 from Pollux E WL 1 from Regulus E.
May 3, Noon	49 17	9 23	21 39	7 32	WL 1 from Regulus E.
6, ditto	48 30	4 27	{ 15 33 16 59	6 10 7 36	WL 1 fr. Virgin's Spike E WL 1 from Pollux W
8, 10h. P. M.	48 19	2 6	{ 11 41 11 45	7 14 7 18	WL 3 from Regulus W WL 2 from Antares E
9, Midnight	49 23	0 11 W	8 54	6 48	EL 3 fr. Virgin's Spike W
10, 9h. A. M.	49 43	0 11 E	7 16	7 5	EL 3 fr. Virgin's Spike W
11, 1h. A. M.	49 52	0 22	6 54	- - -	{ St. Agnes light-house bore N, 28, W. dist. 5 leagues.
13, 2½h. A. M.	49 50	1 53	6 5	6 27	EL 4 fr. Virgin's Spike W Scilly lights bore W. N. W. ½ W. distant 4 leagues.
14, 9h. A. M.	49 59	2 30	4 35	6 28	EL 5 from Antares E Lizard lights bore N. 63 W. distant 13 miles. Edystone light-house bore N. 22 E. dist. 3 miles.

At night, came to an anchor in Plymouth Sound, and the next morning went on shore.

Remarks

Remarks on the foregoing Extracts of the two Journals.

I have laid before my readers the foregoing extracts of the journals of my two voyages, each containing a series of longitudes of the ship, deduced from observations of the moon from time to time, compared with a reckoning kept in the common manner; both as proofs of the exactness of this method of finding the longitude at sea, and also as examples which I hope to see imitated by navigators in future voyages. For tho' it is not very material for them to know their longitude very accurately, while they are in the middle of an ocean, and at a great distance from their intended port, but more particularly necessary when they are approaching it within the distance of 30 or 20, or fewer degrees: yet I should rather recommend it to them, to determine their longitude by observation from time, suppose once in a week, ten days, or a fortnight; not only as it will render them more expert both in making the observations and calculations, against the time of their approach to their port, when they will have most occasion to depend upon them; but also as they will by this means enrich their journals with materials, from whence, at leisure, very useful inferences may be drawn, respecting currents, the variation of the compass, or other curious particulars.

The exactness with which the longitude may be found by these observations, may be shewn from the foregoing abridged journals by three different proofs. The first and principal one is from the near agreement of the longitude inferred by observations made within a few days or hours of making land, with the known longitude of such land.

A second proof may be drawn from the near agreement of the longitudes of the ship, inferred from observations made on a great many different days near to one another, when connected together by the help of the common reckoning.

A third argument, and, in my opinion, as cogent as any in proof of the certainty of this method of finding the longitude, may be deduced from the near agreement of the longitudes of the ship, deduced from observations of stars on different sides of the moon, taken on the same night.

With respect to the first and principal proof, in my voyage out, I made the island of St. Helena within $1\frac{1}{2}$ degrees of its true situation, tho' by cloudiness of weather, and the proximity of the moon to the sun, I had not had an observation of the moon within eight days before: but in my voyage home, I found the Scilly Isles by observations, which I took in the night a few hours before we made them, within 12 miles of their true situation, as I have shewn by the computation which I have distributed through the book, as an example of the rules. But if the second correction of parallax be applied, as is done at the end of the Preface, (and as is also done with respect to the journal of my voyage from St. Helena home) the observations will agree still nearer, or rather in a manner perfectly with the true situation of the Scilly isles.

By observations taken the next night, being in sight of the Scilly lights, I infer the longitude of St. Agnes lighthouse to be 6d. 23m. west of the Royal Observatory at Greenwich, which is only 51m. less than its true longitude

tude. Also by observations made May 8, at night, thirty hours before we made the Scilly Isles, allowing for the ship's run in the mean time, the longitude of St. Agnes light-house comes out 6d. 49m. or only 25m. less than its true longitude. In like manner, by observations made May 13, in the morning, in sight of the Lizard lights, the longitude of the Lizard point comes out 4d. 53m. west of Greenwich, or 50m. less than its true longitude. The only land which we saw in coming home was the Island of Ascension, and I was not able to get one observation of the moon in the space of the short run from St. Helena thither, owing to clouds and the change of the moon happening in the intermediate time; but the next night after we had passed Ascension, I had some very good observations, which gave me the longitude of the ship, agreeing within 22 minutes of a degree with my account, kept from the departure I took from Ascension, whose longitude I assumed, as it has been determined from astronomical observations made on shore; or, allowing the ship's run backwards to the island of Ascension, its longitude might be inferred from the said observations, and would differ only 22 minutes of a degree from its true longitude. As therefore in none of these six instances, the longitude found from the observations of the moon differs a degree from the true longitudes of the places, except in the first instance, where the run of the ship is depended upon for eight days, and where the error of the reckoning, corrected by the last observations of the moon, gives the longitude of St. Helena only $1\frac{1}{2}$ degrees different from its true situation, I think I have sufficient foundation to suppose, that the longitude may be always found at sea by this method, within the compass of a degree, or a very little more, which answers to about 40 geographical miles in the latitude of the English channel.

I observed, that a second proof of the certainty of this method of finding the longitude, might be deduced from the near agreement of the longitudes of the ship, determined from observations made on a great many different days near to one another, when connected together by means of the ship's run. For this purpose I desire the reader particularly to inspect the series of longitudes of the ship, deduced from observation, being no less than 12 in number, in the compass of 11 days, between March 9th and 20th, 1761, in my voyage out to St. Helena. Setting aside any currents, as we were then in a pretty open sea, and supposing the ship's run to be rightly allowed for from the log in the common account, during this time, the same difference ought to have been found between all the longitudes by account, and the corresponding longitudes deduced from the observations of the moon; or the same error of the common account ought to have resulted from all the twelve observations. But as there is, as might be supposed, some difference between them, I take the mean of all the twelve errors of account, which is 5d. 20m. by which we were really more to the west than the common account made us; and, comparing each particular error of account with this quantity, the difference between them, in any of the twelve observations, scarce exceeds a degree; whence I think myself intitled to suppose, that the longitude was deduced truly from every one of the observations, within the compass of a degree, or not much more.

I have set down in the following table the error of the common account, and the difference between 5d. 20m. the mean quantity of it, and each particular error of account; which, except in the first and last observations, does not exceed three quarters of a degree.

The

The last observation, which differs most from the me-
dium, was taken in some haste, on account of the posi-
tion of the sails of the ship, which did not allow me an
uninterrupted view of the star ; nevertheless, as I was tole-
rably satisfied with the observation at the time, I do not
think proper to reject it.

1761 March	Error of account.		Diff. from 5d. 20m. mean error		
	°	'	°	'	
9	4	12	-1	8	
10	5	0	-0	20	
13	{	5	36	+0	16
		5	25	+0	5
15	4	44	-0	36	
17	{	5	52	+0	32
		6	7	+0	47
18	{	5	13	-0	7
		5	46	+0	26
19	4	34	-0	46	
20	{	4	52	-0	28
		6	37	+1	17

Mean error of account, 5 20

The latter part of the extract of the journal of my voyage
home to England affords a similar proof of the consistency
of observations with each other in determining the longitude
of the ship on several nights near to one another. Having
sufficiently satisfied myself of the certainty of these observa-
tions for finding the longitude, by the great number I made
in my voyage out to St. Helena, in the month of March,
1761, I only thought it necessary in my voyage home to
take so many from time to time, at the distance of a week
or ten days from one another, as was sufficient to correct

the reckoning, without leaving room for any considerable error to creep into it. But towards the end of my voyage, at the latter end of April, and during the first part of the month of May, till our arrival at Plymouth, I omitted no opportunity of making observations that I could gain; and from the 28th of April to the 13th of May, that is to say, in the compass of 15 days, I was so fortunate as to obtain no less than 11 different sets of observations, all which shew the error of the ship's reckoning the same, within the compass of 1d. 26m. for the greatest error of the ship's account from one of the observations May 3, is 7d. 36m. and the least error of account is from the other observation on the same day, 6d. 10m. But to set this point in a fuller light, I have, in the following table, made a like comparison of these observations with the common reckoning, as I have done above with respect to the observations I made in my voyage to St. Helena between March 9th and 20, 1761.

1762 April	Error of account.		Difference from mean error.	
	•	'		
28	{ 7	13	+	12
	{ 7	21	+	20
29	7	32	+	31
May 3	{ 6	10	—	51
	{ 7	36	+	35
6	{ 7	14	+	13
	{ 7	18	+	17
8	6	48	—	13
9	7	5	+	4
11	6	27	—	34
13	6	28	—	33

Mean error of account, 7 1

In

In this comparison the greatest difference between the mean error of account and the several particular errors of account, is under one degree; and therefore it seems probable, that the greatest error made in determining the longitude from any of these eleven observations, does not much exceed this quantity: especially, since the greatest difference between the two errors of account which differ most widely from each other, is only one degree, twenty-six minutes.

On this occasion I must confess, that notwithstanding the many and frequent proofs I have had of the certainty and exactness of these kind of observations, and tho' I am sensible I spared no pains in making those I am treating of with all the care in my power; yet I am not a little surpris'd myself at finding so near an agreement of so many with one another, particularly because several of them are single ones, and the time, in some of them, was determined from altitudes of the stars in the night. But I would not be understood by this to mean to arrogate any peculiar merit or skill to myself in making these observations, which others may not equally attain with the same care and experience; since I am satisfied, from the near agreement of many observations, taken by the officers of the Warwick, with my own, taken at the same instant, that mariners properly instructed in making the observations, as I flatter myself they will find themselves to be by means of my second Chapter, and moderately exercised in the practice of them, which is their own part, will be able to determine their longitude, by only adhering strictly to my rules, within the limits I have already mentioned, namely, about a degree.

The

The third proof of the certainty of the observations of the moon for finding the longitude at sea, which may be deduced from the foregoing extracts of my journals, is what results from the near agreement of the double longitudes of the ship deduced from distances of the moon from stars on different sides of her, taken on the same night; for all the most probable kinds of error, that can be supposed in the observations, whether arising from a faulty division of the limb of the instrument; a refraction in the speculums or dark glasses, a wrong allowance for the correction of the adjustment, or a supposed bad habit of estimating the contact of a star with the moon's limb too open, affecting the computation of the longitude from stars on different sides of the moon different ways; their ill effect, if any, must be sensible in the result. But since in all the instances of the double longitudes thus determined, contained in the journal, the difference is very small, and such as fully justifies what I have already advanced, with respect to the degree of exactness with which the longitude may be determined at sea, it is evident, that by good instruments and careful observations, these errors may be so far reduced, as to be of very little consequence.

I have one more remark to add, respecting the journal of my voyage from St. Helena to England, which I flatter myself will not be thought foreign to the purpose; that April 20th and 21st, 1762, while we were crossing the parallels of latitude of the western islands, we ought, by the common reckonings of the ship, to have been sailing thro' the midst of them, which could not have happened without our, at least, seeing some of them: but this is easily reconciled from the observations of the moon, by which it appears, that the
ship

ship was at that time nine or ten degrees farther to the west than the common reckonings made it; so that if through distress of weather, or other accidents, we had wanted to make any of those islands, we could not have done it by the common reckonings; but it cannot be doubted, after the proofs that have been already given, that we might have readily and certainly found them by means of the longitude of the ship, corrected by the observations of the moon, if we had wanted it.

An Account of the Principles and Authority upon which the following Tables depend.

THE depression of the horison of the sea, contained in the first table, page I. I have made less by one tenth part, than it is stated in the common tables, deduced from the known dimensions of the earth ; having found, both from theory and experiments, this correction to be necessary on account of the refraction of the rays of light in passing thro' the atmosphere.

The next table, which is new, shewing the depression of the water's edge of land at a given distance, I have computed from the known dimensions of the earth, paying also a regard to the effect of refraction. I apprehend it may be very useful when the horison is land-locked on the side it may be wanted to observe ; since, if the distance of the land adjoining to the water can be estimated nearly, the quantity of depression taken out of this table may be applied, instead of the depression of the horison in the former table.

The next table is computed from a rule formerly communicated to me by the late Dr. Bradley, and deduced by him from his observations, according to the principles contained in a paper of the late Mr. Simpson's, in his Mathematical Dissertations. The rule is, that the refraction at any altitude is to 57 $\frac{1}{2}$ the mean refraction at the altitude of 45d. as the tangent of the apparent zenith distance, lessened by three times the refraction, taken nearly out of any common table of refraction : is to the radius. This is adapted to an altitude of Fahrenheit's thermometer of 50d. and to an altitude of the barometer of $29\frac{6}{10}$ inches : and in this

state of the air has been found by Dr. Bradley to answer very nearly to observation quite down to the horizon. In other states of the air, he found the refraction to be to the quantity contained in this table, in a ratio compounded of the direct ratio of the altitude of the barometer to $29\frac{6}{8}$ inches, and in a reciprocal ratio of the altitude of the thermometer, increased by 350 to the number 400. As sailors have occasion to correct their altitudes only to single minutes, I thought it would be more convenient for their use to set down the degrees and minutes of altitude, which answer to the even minutes of refraction, than to give the table in the common manner. Refraction causing the heavenly bodies to appear higher than they really are, all altitudes observed ought to be diminished by the numbers contained in the table; but particularly the altitudes of the sun, taken for computing his azimuth, in order to find the variation of the compass from observation, being generally very near the horizon, ought to be so corrected. From the effect of refraction also, the sun appearing to be in the horizon, when he is really half a degree below it; though he is really in the horizon, when his center appears at the altitude of 29 minutes above it; therefore the proper time for taking an amplitude is not when the sun appears in the horizon, but when his centre appears 29 minutes high, or his lower limb 15, or his upper limb 43 minutes high: but the apparent altitude of the sun above the visible horizon of the sea should be greater than these numbers by the quantity of the dip of the horizon, taken out of the first table.

The catalogue of right ascensions and declinations of stars, page II. and III. is selected from the Abbe de la Caille's observations, and is designed both for finding the time and the latitude, by altitudes taken in the night.

The next table, page III. and IV. serves to turn degrees and minutes of the equator into time, and the contrary, at the rate of 15d. to 1h. and 15m. to 1m. of time, &c. Thus the longitude from Greenwich by account is frequently turned into time, and *vice versa*, the apparent time in Rule 3d of the Appendix, is turned into degrees and minutes of the equator.

The table of limits, which the difference or sum of the moon and stars latitudes should not exceed, ought to be carefully attended to in chusing out a proper star for observing the distance of the moon from, *Vide* page 12, Chap. II. The principal reason for this is, that the moon's latitude, used in the computations of the 6th Chapter, may sometimes err three minutes: for the latitude, as found from the tables, is sometimes liable to an error of 2 minutes; and, if the ship's account be out 5d. this will make an error of 20 minutes in the assumed time at Greenwich, and may therefore sometimes occasion an error of a minute more in computing the moon's latitude. But if the star be chosen agreeable to the table of limits, an error even of three minutes in the moon's latitude will not occasion above 15s. error in the result of the computations of Chap. VI. in finding the moon's true longitude from the observations.

The catalogue of the longitudes and latitudes of twelve zodiacal stars, page IV. proper for observing the distance of the moon from, in order to determine the longitude, is taken from the best modern observations.

In the table, page V. calculated for every tenth day in the year, I have included the semidiameter and hourly motion of the sun, and have thrown together into one sum the
increase

increase of the star's longitude, owing to the precession of the equinoxes from the beginning of the year, and the aberration in longitude. But as this sum was sometimes negative, I have in those cases added the greatest negative quantity to every number in its respective table, and have subtracted the same from the epochs of longitude at the bottom of page IV. so that the corrections of page V. are always additive.

The mean motions of the sun and moon are set down agreeable to tables of them, which I received as a present from Mr. Gael Morris, being such as he had constructed himself from the comparison of a great number of the late Dr. Bradley's observations. The equation of time is also taken from the same tables.

The equation of the sun's centre, and the tables of the equations of the moon, latitude, and parallax, are taken from Mr. Mayer's tables, as printed in the second volume of the Gottingen Acts, excepting two slight alterations, which I have made in order to save a little trouble in the calculations, without hurting their exactness. One of the alterations I have made is by leaving out the annual equation of the mean anomaly and node, and making the first equation of the moon serve instead of it. This alteration, I own, may sometimes occasion a difference of 14s. in the computation of the moon's longitude; but then I find, that in Mr. Mayer's manuscript tables, which I have seen, the value of the annual equation of the mean anomaly is increased so as to approach towards the value of double the first equation. The other alteration which I have made, is in substituting a more easy manner of taking out the argument of latitude the second, instead of Mr. Mayer's, which can never make a difference of more than 8s. from the same taken out with

Mr. Mayer's argument. The alteration I have made in taking out equation the first of the moon for the annual equation of the node, may also occasion a difference in the moon's latitude of 5s. But both these differences are of no consequence, since the moon's latitude computed by these tables is sometimes liable to err three minutes; and this being of no prejudice, if the star be properly chosen, a smaller difference of a few seconds cannot be worth regarding.

I have added, page XXIV. and XXV. three tables of the hourly motion of the moon, constructed by myself, which were much wanted, being not to be found in any books of tables that I have seen.

I have added a table of multipliers, page XXV. in order to save a little trouble in the computations: they are the quotients of 15d. or 900 minutes; divided by the hourly motion of the moon, or the difference of the hourly motions of the sun and moon, which are the two first terms of the proportion prescribed at the beginning of the 7th Chapter.

The table of the moon's parallax in altitude, page XXVI. is useful in computing the moon's apparent altitude, if it had not been observed, *vide* page 57 and 60; also in Article IV. in the resolution of the problem in the Appendix, where the altitude of the moon is first corrected by parallax, in order to compute the time from: also in finding the latitude from the moon's meridian altitude: and also in computing the second and small correction of parallax, which was omitted in Chap. V. but is delivered at the end of the Preface.

The tables of the declination and right ascension of the degrees of the ecliptic, page XXVI. XXVII. and XXVIII. are

are useful for finding the moon's declination and right ascension, by the two rules in the appendix, page 54, from her longitude and latitude given : also for finding the sun's right ascension from his longitude, whether in computing the time from the altitude of a star or the moon, or in computing the apparent altitude of the moon and star from the apparent time given.

I have added the last table, containing the longitudes and latitudes of places that have been determined from astronomical observations, as a proper and necessary part of this work, and I hope it will appear an acceptable present to the public. As the method of finding the longitude by observations of the moon, or indeed any method of finding the longitude, can only agree with the true longitudes of places ; and as those determined from astronomical observations are the only ones that are absolutely certain, so I would be understood to submit the method of finding the longitude proposed in this book to a trial only by a comparison with the longitudes of places contained in the table ; I mean, with respect to the degree of exactness which I have inferred from my own experience to be attainable by this method. But if the navigator, on making of land, should find his account, deduced from observations of the moon, to differ much above a degree from the longitudes of places which are not contained in the table, but taken from other authorities, I hope he will be so candid as to suppose, that this greater seeming disagreement of his observations with the land made, rather arises from some error in the longitude of the land, assumed from too insufficient authority, than to any defect in a method which may seem from so many experiments to be sufficiently proved.

In order to render the foregoing table of longitudes and latitudes of places as compleat as possible, I have consulted all the best authorities I could find. In particular, I have borrowed all the places that are contained in the French Almanack, called the *Connoissance des temps*, for 1760, and in the explanation of the annual calculations of the same almanack, published in 1762, with very little alteration, only reducing them to the meridian of the Royal Observatory at Greenwich. For the longitudes of other places which I have here added, and which result from observations made in the latter end of the last, or the beginning of the present century, I refer the reader to the books or memoirs in which they are described, and which are to be supposed well known to geographers; but I shall give a brief account of the authorities upon which I give the longitudes of several places, deduced from more modern observations. Many of these are determined from the observations of the late transit of the planet Venus over the sun.

Thus I have deduced the longitude of Leaskard in Cornwall from the observation made there by the Reverend Mr. Haydon; that of the university of Cambridge from a mean of the observations made there by several ingenious gentlemen, tho' their modesty has prevented them from communicating them to the public; that of Exeter in Devonshire, from the observation of Mr. Chapple; that of Stalbridge in Devonshire, from the observation of the Rev. Mr. Bolton; and that of Wakefield in Yorkshire, from the observation of Mr. George Gargrave.

The observation of the Rev. Mr. Haydon is particularly valuable, both as it was made with good instruments, and as it serves, with the help of the maps of the county of Cornwall,

Cornwall, to determine very nearly the longitude of Plymouth, Falmouth, the Lizard Point, the Land's-end, and the Scilly Isles; all which places are generally either the last that ships see in going out of the channel outward bound, or which they make first upon coming into the channel homeward bound.

The other places, whose longitudes are deduced from the late transit of Venus, are Leyden in Holland, from the observation of Mr. Professor Lulofs, (and hence also that of the Hague and Rotterdam, by their known position to Leyden); also Drontheim in Norway, from the observation of Mr. George N. Holm; Carelsroon and Landsroon in Sweden, from observations made there, but I am not acquainted with the names of the observers; Tobolski in Siberia, from the observation of M. L' Abbè de Chappe; and St. John's in Newfoundland, from the observation of Mr. Winthrop, who sailed thither with proper instruments from New England for that purpose. The longitude of Fort St. George in the East Indies results from the observation of the transit of Venus made there by the Rev. Mr. Hirst; that of Calcutta in Bengal, from the observation of Mr. Magee; and that of Islamabad from the observations of Mess. Knott and Plaisted. For these four last observations we are indebted to the Directors of the East-India Company, who sent out general instructions to all their settlements, particularly recommending this observation to such as should be capable of it.

The longitudes of the following places I have determined from observations of the eclipses of Jupiter's first satellite, compared with corresponding ones made at Greenwich, or elsewhere; or, if not corresponding, yet happening within a few periods, and compared with the help of the tables. The longi-

tude of the island of St. Helena I deduce from my own observations made there in the year 1761; the longitude of the Cape of Good Hope, from the observations made there by Mr. Charles Mason and Mr. Jeremiah Dixon in the same year. The longitude of the island of Rodrigues in the Ethiopic observation, from the observations of M. Pingré; and Casan in Russia, from two emersions, one of the first, and the other of the second satellite, observed there by M. L'Abbè de Chappe, in the year 1761. The longitude of Cape Francois I deduce from an emersion of the first satellite of Jupiter, observed there in the year 1745, by Don George Juan, one of the two Spanish mathematicians who went to Peru in conjunction with the French academicians, to measure the degrees of the meridian, which I compared with a computation which I have made of the same, from Mr. Wargentin's tables, published by M. De la Lande, not having possession of any observations made in England or elsewhere at that time. The longitudes of Stockholm, Hernosand, and Cajaneburg, all in Sweden, are taken from the authority of Mr. Wargentin. *Vide* papers 39th and 44th, Vol. LII. Part I. of the *Philosophical Transactions* for the year 1761. The same volume also contains most of the observations of the transit of Venus which have been made use of in determining the longitudes of the places already mentioned, which are inserted in the table.

The longitude of Cape Clear in Ireland was determined from eclipses of Jupiter's satellites, by the observers appointed by Mr. Whiston to survey the coasts of the channel. The longitude of the city of York was determined by Mr. Richard Norwood, from the same mensurations by which he inferred the length of the degrees of the meridian between London and York.

I am

I am obliged to Mr. James Short, F. R. S. for the latitude and longitude of Edinburgh, the latter resulting from the observations of the eclipses of Jupiter's satellites, made there formerly by himself: as also for the longitude of Port Royal in Jamaica, computed by himself from the observation of the external contact of Mercury with the sun's limb in the year 1753, taken by Mr. Macfarlane. The longitudes and latitudes of Louisbourg, Cape Sable, and the Straits of Fronsac, between Nova Scotia and the island of Cape Breton, are taken from M. Chabert's accurate observations. The situation of Achem, the north-west point of the island of Sumatra, and that of the island of Pulo Timon in the Gulf of Siam, I have taken from the authority of M. d'Aprés de Mannevillette, in his *Neptune Orientale*; as also the longitude of Manilla in the Philippine islands; the two first being accurately settled by him from their latitudes and bearings from Malacca, and the latter sufficiently determined from several short runs of ships to and from the island of Pulo Condor, which is settled from astronomical observations. The longitude of Callao in the South Seas depends on that of the city of Lima, of which it is the port, and which is known from astronomical observations.

The positions of Cape Lorenzo and Guaquil, likewise in the South Seas, are settled relatively to the city of Quito in Peru, by the trigonometrical mensurations of the gentlemen who measured the degrees of the meridian in Peru; therefore I have set down their longitudes agreeable to that of Quito contained in the tables, and the difference between the longitudes of those places and Quito, supposed in Don George Juan's accurate chart of the western coast of South America: the longitude of Quito contained in the tables, and which results from eclipses of Jupiter's first satellite,

S

being

being much more certain than its longitude assumed in the chart from eclipses of the moon.

Lastly, the longitude of Panama in the South Seas is pretty accurately determined with respect to Porto Bello, lying on the other side of the Isthmus, from which it was found to lie only 31 minutes of a degree to the west, by the estimation of the direction, and length of the way from the latter place to the former, made by the Spanish mathematicians who went to Peru to measure the degrees of the meridian.

T H E E N D.